



Military Withdrawal of the US

The Riverside Attack

Nothing New in the Latest American Policy on Africa!

An America Dream

The Prophet's (saw) Methodology for Revival - 20th Halaqah

Q&A: Sweden's Agreement and its Repercussions on the Tragedy of Yemen



Increased Cost of Living Islam is the Solution

Hizb ut Tahrir / Kenya Official Launching of Special Campaign under the theme: Increased Cost of Living: Islam is the Solution

Press Release

Hizb ut Tahrir / Kenya is pleased to launch officially a special campaign that will run for three months on the theme “Increased Cost of Living: Islam is the Solution” that will commence on Sunday, 13 January 2019 and end on Friday, 05 April 2019.

The object of the campaign is to expose the role of colonial capitalism in furthering economic problems in general that continue to bedevil the nation of Kenya that include huge debts standing at Ksh.5.7 Trillion and a miserable life that continues to face the citizens. This campaign will also aim to present the Islamic solution in addressing economic challenges as a comprehensive ideology that has rules to tackle all problems facing humanity.

In realizing this Campaign, Hizb ut Tahrir / Kenya will organize a number of events including lectures in different venues, visiting scholars including economists in this nation amongst other activities.

This campaign is one of the works of Hizb ut Tahrir in building public opinion by presenting a clear economic viewpoint based on Islam that was executed for 13 centuries by the Islamic State of Khilafah and practical solved economic difficulties. We believe that the West by destroying the Khilafah (Caliphate) in the year 1342 AH corresponding to 1924 CE is the root-cause of the economic challenges and general problems facing the world today. Furthermore, our conviction is that the solution for the high cost of living and all evils facing humanity is by replacing the exploitative capitalist ideology with the Islamic ideology under the shade of Khilafah (Caliphate) on the method of Prophet Muhammad (saw). The resumption of the Islamic way of life through the reestablishment of the Khilafah (Caliphate) will once again liberate humanity from economic machinations and other despicable evils by the implementation of the Islamic ideology and its systems including that of the economic system of Islam with its noble policy enshrined in it.

In conclusion, we call on the Ummah in general especially the Muslim Ummah to participate with us in this noble campaign that we intend to present a lot and, which will aid us in this Dunya and Akhira.

Follow the campaign hashtags:

English: #IncreasedCostOfLiving_IslamIsTheSolution

Kiswahili: #KuzidiKwaGharamaZaMaisha_UIslamuNdioTiba

Shabani Mwalimu
Media Representative of Hizb ut Tahrir in Kenya

Increased Cost of Living: Islam is the Solution

Part One: The Economic Situation of Kenya

As Kenya gained “False Independence” in the year 1963, the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) was USD926.6 million with a population of 8.9 million and a per capita of US\$104 annually. By the year 2018 the GDP was USD85.98 billion with a population of almost more than 48 million with an average per capita of USD1,790 annually. The statistics indicate that the sectors that contribute in the growth of the GDP are services’ sector (wholesale and retail trade, transport, financial etc.), industrial and manufacturing sector and agricultural sector respectively. To an extent that on Tuesday, 30 September 2014, Kenya was declared the ninth-largest economy in Africa and became “A Middle Income country.” (<https://www.brookings.edu>, Friday, 3 October 2014)

On the other hand, total debts (internal and external) are estimated to be more than Ksh7 trillion by 2020. (The East African, 18 December 2018). The 2018/2019 budget of Ksh3 trillion had a deficit of Ksh500 billion! The growth of GDP indicates that Kenya is on the right track and at the same time the increased in debts signifies that the nation is on a dangerous path that can cause the nation to be choked by debts to an extent its economy to collapse.

Surprisingly, since we got the “False Independence” the gap between the poor and the rich widens at an alarming rate. Despite the fact, that Kenya’s economy is the largest in East and Central African region with Nairobi as the regional commercial hub. Citizens in Kenya are languishing in poverty at 45.9% (<https://www.bbc.com>, 30 September 2014). In other words more than 4 Kenyans out of 10 are spending USD1.90 to meet their basic needs!

Kenya is an agent state to colonial Britain; hence, its economic system emanates from the secular capitalist ideology that it has inherited from its British master. Therefore, the economy is not anticipated to improve the lives of the citizens in general but only a few from the regime’s elites while the rest are condemned to the peripheral! The capitalist economic policy is based on enriching the rich and piling poverty on the paupers! On condition that the paupers be given some breath so that they do not die in order for them to continue serving the wealthy in their homes and industries and the little pay issued to them by the right hand as salary is again looted via the left hand on the pretext of taxation!

This situation will continue until when Humanity wake ups and realize that the problem is not about the economy growing slowly or fast, but; the secular creed that separates religion from life is the root-cause of the catastrophes we are witnessing including extreme poverty levels! This creed and its capitalist ideology have given people the role to organize their life based on their whims and desires including legislating by themselves to run their lives. Its systems including that of economy is fanciful designed to an extent instead of the government to rush in making sure that its citizens meet their basic needs like food, clothing and shelter; they are running to “invest in infrastructure etc.” in order to grow the economy! Investing in what economy while the debts are continuing to be huge and almost 50% of citizens are not able to meet their basic needs?!

Ali Nassoro Ali

Member of the Media Office of Hizb ut Tahrir in Kenya

Part Two: Economic System of Islam under the Islamic State of Khilafah

The Islamic State of Khilafah is founded upon the laws emanating from Allah (swt) i.e. from the Shari’ah (Qur’an and Sunnah). This State is in existence for two main roles, execution of the Shari’ah within its jurisdiction and to carry the Islamic Da’wah across the world in order to liberate humanity from the slavery of worshipping man to that of worshipping the Creator of all creations under the just Islamic ideology.

Amongst the systems of Islam is that of the economy. An economic system means the relationship between people and their needs, means to meet their needs and utilization of these means. Islam recognizes that man is limited and so are his needs are limited to organic needs and instincts. The means (property) to satisfy needs are numerous and available across the world.

The utilization (distribution) of these means is the root-cause for economic problems facing humanity because of ownership. In order to solve them, it is compulsory to refer to the thoughts that emanate from the creed (viewpoint on life). The Islamic creed is None has the right to be worshipped except God, Muhammad is the messenger of God. Based on that, the economic system of Islam has come to solve the wealth distribution based on the Shari’ah. On the contrary is that the Secular creed, which separates religion from life; it states that the economic problem is scarcity of goods and services and cannot meet the ever-increasing needs of man! Their economic solution is for capitalists (company owners) to continue producing goods and services to meet the needs of man!

كَيْ لَا يَكُونَ دُولَةً بَيْنَ الْأَغْنِيَاءِ مِنْكُمْ

so that it will not be a perpetual distribution among the rich from among you [Al-Hashr: 7]

The Economic Policy of Islam under the Khilafah is to ensure that every individual (its citizens) is able to meet his basic needs completely that are food, clothing, and shelter and help him to realize the luxuries. A person can meet his needs by working. If he is not able to work, the guardian takes care of him, if the guardian is not able. The State will take care of him. In the Khilafah there is no taxation like the way it is witnessed today for instances (PAYE, VAT, NHIF, NSSF, Housing Fund etc.). This means Islam has clearly expounded on the sources of revenues and means of distribution or expenditure. Revenues for instance are Zakat, Kharaj, Ushr, Fai etc. As for taxation like what is happening today in secular regimes like Kenya, it is prohibited in Islam unless on exceptional crisis and temporarily. This situation will cause life to be easy because people will be able to meet the price of goods and services contrary to the current situation under secular regimes including Kenya!

Islam has categorized property in three; private property, public property and state property. Private property is that which is possessed and expended by an individual via permissible (Halal) means only. An individual can possess property through five means: working, inheriting, obtaining funds for the sustenance of life, receiving State grants as a citizen and property obtained without exchanging funds or work. Public property are the items that the legislator made their ownership belong to all Muslims, a joint ownership between them, and prevented individuals from their ownership, such as electricity generators, power plants, gas factories, coal, and minerals that are in abundance, that are not limited, whether solid such as gold and iron, or a liquid such as oil, or gas such as natural gas, all of these and similar ones are public property owned by all Muslims, shared ownership, and it is a source of funds of Bait ul Mal of Muslims, distributed by the Khalifah to them, in accordance with the Shari'ah rules. It is not permissible for the Khalifah to give its ownership to any one, whether an individual or group; because it belongs to all the Muslims collectively.

الْمُسْلِمُونَ شُرَكَاءُ فِي ثَلَاثِ الْمَاءِ وَالْكَلْبِ وَالنَّارِ

Muslims are partners in three: water, pasture and fire [Ahmad na Ibn Maja]

State property is any piece of land or building connected to a right of all the Muslims; it is separate to public property. State ownership can be of land, buildings, transferrable objects, that are linked to a right of the general Muslims according to Shariah rules, the Khalifah has the authority to manage, monitor, and make decision regarding the property. The Khalifah can give their ownership to individuals, and the title (ownership) and benefit, or benefit without ownership (of the neck), or to allow them to be revived and owned, and he can dispose of it in the manner that he sees for the interest of the Muslims. The Khalifah has permission to give from the state ownership of land for the one who does not have agricultural land, the person can cultivate it and it becomes his property.

The Khalifah has no permission to take away from someone's private property wrongfully and then gives it to another, but he can give from the state ownership for the poor and not the rich. These properties are stipulated by the Shari'ah rules, it is not permissible to change its classification, so the public ownership is not changed to private ownership, like giving the right for oil exploration and extraction to a private company, the so-called "privatization", this is turning public property to private ownership. Likewise, it is not permissible to transfer private property to state property, like taking away by force the shop of a person and is made the ownership of the state, which is called nationalization.

Islam under the Khilafah will ensure that its citizens are in a piety environment that lead them to have mercy between themselves:

"The believers in their mutual kindness, compassion and sympathy are just like one body. When one of the limbs suffers, the whole body responds to it with wakefulness and fever." [Bukhari and Muslim]

The kindness will be to all citizens under the Khilafah due to the execution of the Shari'ah, which include permitting trade and prohibiting usury, gambling, deception in trade, monopoly in property and trade, price-fixing on goods and services etc. Due to the comprehensive execution of Islam for 13 Centuries until its destruction on 28 Rajab 1342AH same as 3 March 1924CE the citizens under the Khilafah were able to see practical how the economic system of Islam liberate people from poverty. The situation will return when the Islamic way of life resumes through the establishment of the State of Khilafah on the method of Prophethood.

On the contrary, we shall continue to suffer under these secular regimes. Perpetual are exploiting their citizens economical via taxation, which in origin should not have been case. Furthermore, they collude with colonial institutions like World Bank and International Monetary Fund, and taking loans from nations like China, Britain etc. plunge them in interest debts-slavery! The wealth that Kenya is blessed with by Allah including minerals, oil, agricultural lands etc. all have been privatized while majority of citizens continue to sink in extreme poverty! The lucky ones to be employed are paid meagerly depending on an estimation of their low expenditure and mindboggling-taxation occurs in the same amount! The same regimes are always on the rush calling for "paying taxes is self-reliant" And acting blind when colonial multinationals pillage public property and easing their so-called "investments" by removing taxation on them!

Ali Nassoro Ali

Member of the Media Office of Hizb ut Tahrir in Kenya

News & Comment

Military Withdrawal of the US; what's behind it?



News:

Trump Withdraws U.S. Forces from Syria.

Comment:

Trump's announcement to withdraw militarily from Syria brought a storm of protest in Congress, even from elements within the Republican Party. Also, the Commander of United States Central Command, Gen. Joseph Votel and the American envoy to the coalition fighting the Islamic State, Brett H. McGurk, fiercely protested the military withdrawal, causing the resignation of Defence Secretary Jim Mattis. But foremost the Kurds from Syria expressed their deep concerns.

So, the question arises, why has Trump pushed this withdrawal against all odds? Is it a haphazard action of Trump or is this just a part of a bigger strategy?

Firstly, it must be stated that a military withdrawal doesn't by any means, implicate a withdrawal of US presence or influence from the region. And especially if the military withdrawal comprises a small amount which doesn't exceed a couple of thousand military personal as in the case of Syria. On the contrary, history teaches us exactly the opposite. Foreign military occupation or military presence is just one among the many tools of these colonial states to exercise their influence.

This been said, back in March this year, Trump stated that he would bring back the troops home once "the battle is won." He didn't specify a date but he expressed his objectives back then without any serious opposition. But now that he is willing to realise this, tumult arose as if this came out of the blue. So, these reactions against Trump's decision should be most likely evaluated and contextualised within already existing friction with some parties in the US with Trump.

Despite these kinds of differences which are related to means and resources, the position of the US about Syria was never clouded. The Syrian regime and its secular system must stay, with or without Bashar al-Assad and oppositions with an Islamic project must be diluted, canalized or eliminated. This summarises exactly the US policy for the past 7 years in Syria together with its allies, Turkey, Iran and Russia.

As a result of this policy, the weak Syrian regime regained control over almost every region in Syria except the Idlib region were the last remanence of the opposition are present and the Northern region were the Kurds have their semi-autonomous region. The endgame seems nearby. The only obstacles for the unification and resurrection of the Syrian regime is the Syrian opposition and the Kurds in the North.

As for the Kurdish region, the US nurtured them and protected them against Turkey and has used them effectively in fighting the group of Bagdadi and Islamic groups. A military withdrawal of the US will leave them defenceless against, on one hand the Turkish threat and on the other hand Bashar al-Assad who wants to bring all of Syria again under his government control.

This is why the Kurdish leaders felt the heat and immediately launched talks with Damascus for direct and bilateral settlement when they heard about the US withdrawal. So, the Kurds are indirectly forced in to negotiation with the Syrian regime which will ultimately lead in to merging of North Syria into a “unified” Syria.

Also, a remarkable but explanatory reaction came from the Turkish Foreign Minister Mevlut Cavusoglu. He said that Turkey and other world powers would consider working with Syrian President Bashar al-Assad if he won a democratic election. And Sudan’s President Omar al-Bashir visited Bashar Al-Assad and expressed his hope that Syria will soon recover its important regional role again. By officially recognising this murderous regime, they expose and at the same time, confirm the upcoming American move.

Talks about the resumption of Syria in the Arab League are already taking place. This was explained by an informant at the League headquarters in Cairo on Thursday against Sputnik.

Previously, a source in the administration of the Tunisian president had said that several Arab countries, including Tunisia and Algeria, are coordinating efforts to repatriate Syria to the organization. According to the spokesman, Tunisia, Iraq and Algeria are focused to restore full participation of Syria in the work of the League again.

The US withdrawal was also welcomed by the Russian Foreign Ministry by stating that a withdrawal will create prospects for a political settlement in Syria’s civil.

And the bilateral telephone meeting of Trump with Erdogan prior to the announcement of the withdrawal only confirms that the US’s withdrawal is not a haphazard action but a move within a bigger evil plan to eliminate the Syrian uprising together with its allies.

وَإِذْ يَمْكُرُ بِكَ الَّذِينَ كَفَرُوا لِيُثْبِتُوكَ أَوْ يَقْتُلُوكَ أَوْ يُخْرِجُوكَ وَيَمْكُرُونَ وَيَمْكُرُ اللَّهُ
وَاللَّهُ خَيْرُ الْمَاكِرِينَ

“And [remember, O Muhammad], when those who disbelieved plotted against you to restrain you or kill you or evict you [from Makkah]. But they plan, and Allah plans. And Allah is the best of planners.” [Al-Anfal: 30]

Okay Pala

Media Representative of Hizb ut Tahrir in The Netherlands

The Riverside Attack Should Not Be Used to Further the War against Islam

Press Release

Hizb ut Tahrir / Kenya was shocked by the heinous killing of 14 people that happened at Dusitd2 Hotel at Riverside, Nairobi. We in Hizb ut Tahrir / Kenya condemn the spilling of blood and at the same time state the following:

Since there are reports that the involved persons in the attack were killed, we call up on the security apparatus in Kenya not to harass innocent citizens. Unfortunately, it is common practice for police to pursue the Muslim community under the pretext of revamping security.

This hotel attack occurred despite the fact the government alleged it has upped its security; hence, the fundamental question is: *"How was it possible for the attackers to use a vehicle with Kenyan plate numbers, access the hotel and commit the said evil? We state categorical that it is the role of the security apparatus to ensure the safety of citizens and their properties.*

As for the local and international media, they clamored to brand the act as an act of terrorism and accuse Muslims and Islam even before the government issued its statement. We take this as a deliberate move aimed at tarnishing Islam and cause religious hatred between communities. We state that Western regimes seize such attacks in furthering the adulteration of Islam under the ploy of fighting terrorism. The most disheartening, is that the West in their thirst to pillage the wealth of other nations, are colluding with dictators in committing genocides like in Syria, Iraq, South Sudan and in other areas. Without shame, their terrorism is branded as liberation to establish so-called Democracy!

In conclusion, we give sincere advice to the Muslim Scholars not to fall in the trap set by the West in making the castigation of Islam as the root-cause of terrorism. We remind that the West will never tire in fighting Islam and the war on terror is intended at forcing the Muslims to do away with their Islamic teachings. Furthermore, we believe that followers of respective religions will not accept their teachings to be interfered with or to change their religious positions emanating from the force of others. The West is not fighting genuine terror instead; they want Muslims not to strictly adhere to their Islam as a better, comprehensive ideology and solution to the problems facing humanity today. Hizb ut Tahrir / Kenya emphasizes that Islam from its inception to the end of the world prohibits all acts of violence committed by Muslims or non-Muslims.

Shabani Mwalimu
Media Representative of Hizb ut Tahrir in Kenya

Nothing New in the Latest American Policy on Africa!



Donald Trump's administration unveiled its so-called "New African Strategy" on Thursday, 14 December 2018 at the Heritage Foundation in Washington DC by the National Security Advisor, John Bolton. The said policy is anchored on three cornerstones: 1. Advancing US trade and commercial ties with nations across the region, 2. Countering threats of radical Islamic terrorism and violent conflict and 3. Re-evaluating peacekeeping role in Africa by not supporting unproductive, unsuccessful and unaccountable UN peacekeeping missions.

Once again, this policy confirms that Africa plays a crucial role in the schemes of the West. Since, from the word go the West view Africa as their colonial farm that is to be plundered and its people enslaved in all spheres of life including socio-economical, political and educational by the execution of the invalid secular capitalist ideology and its systems that emanate from it.

The launching of the policy is happening at a time when America has initiated a 21st Century Economic War against both her perceived and real enemies who include European Union, China, Russia and their respective allies. America's interests anchored on the so-called "Make America Great Again" slogan is what drives this war. The three policy cornerstones reflect the nature of relationship between Africa and America, as that of between a sub servant and a master. Since Africa is a consumer market for western products, whose raw materials originate from the colonial farm and so its trade is favoring the West over any other state. As for the war

on Radical Islam, it is just a Western plot to hoodwink the masses that strict adherence to Islam is a threat to other religions. However, the true purpose for the attack on Islam and Muslims under the veil of fighting Radical Islamic terrorism and violent conflict; is to cast aspersions on Islam as an alternative ideology to the failed invalid secular capitalist ideology that has caused catastrophes to humanity across the world. As for the so-called "peacekeeping UN", it is just another fallacy peddled by the American administration, the primary initiator of global wars by invading other countries either directly or via proxies so that she realizes her evil objectives.

The policy is not different from those of European Union, China, Russia and their respective allies in their viewpoint against Africa and especially the Muslims and Islam. As for Africa, it is their dumping site for their manufactured products and a source for cheap raw materials directly looted or via their bankrolled agent rulers! As for Muslims and Islam, they are a threat to the global status quo under the leadership of the secular capitalist ideology and its stinking systems emanating from it. That is why for instance we have Muslims languishing in Russia prisons, Incarceration camps in China, Guantanamo Bay detention camps under the United States and in countries under the European Union and their former colonies passing inhumane legislation against the so-called "Anti-Terrorism and Radicalism." All those steps taking to ensure that Islam is not resumed as a complete way of life under the shade of the Khilafah on

Cont... page 9

the method of Prophethood.

We condemn this latest modified American policy on Africa because it ushers a new wave of scrambling for Africa against other great powers determined to challenge America in taking over of the African continent. At the same time, we call upon the African leadership to embrace the call for Khilafah so that it can realize its renaissance and cut off the exploiters' ties.

Hizb ut Tahrir Kenya reminds African leaders and politicians to be true to their people and to support the interests of their citizens. This will lead to refusing and denying the American colonial politics that have exposed new colonialism without concealing. How long will this continent which is rich in resources but the poorest in the world fulfill its potential? It is up to its leaders who surrendered their souls to colonialist agenda led by the Americans to abandon them and free themselves from subservience of evil ideology, by looking at alternative way of life that will bring back to people its dignity and protect their blood and resources. History will condemn you badly!

Hizb ut Tahrir Kenya challenges thinkers and intellectuals who are true to their people to object colonialism today with their minds, tongues and their writings. Unveiling of this policy by America does not require a scholar to recognize the continuation of the plunder, abuse and plot against the continent. The plan itself has made it clear that it is in the interests of the American economic, political, security ... under the call of 'America first' regardless of the impact it will bring to the entire continent of Africa. This is the reality of the colonial capitalist system, since relationships between big and small countries is a relationship of the Lord and his slave. No continent is more affected by this wicked system like Africa! Should you not look for an alternative ideology to save the people who are looking up to you, then your conscious will torment you!

Hizb ut Tahrir Kenya reminds Muslims their role in propagating Islam as a way of life with real solution to problems facing mankind and accounting the leaders who are aping everything from the west to the extent of destroying their countries and people.

وَ إِذَا قِيلَ لَهُمْ لَا تُفْسِدُوا فِي الْأَرْضِ قَالُوا إِنَّمَا نَحْنُ مُصْلِحُونَ أَلَا إِنَّهُمْ هُمُ
الْمُفْسِدُونَ وَ لَكِن لَّا يَشْعُرُونَ

And when it is said to them: 'Create not disorder on the earth,' they say: 'We are only promoters of peace.' Beware! it is surely they who create disorder, but they do not perceive it. {Al-Baqara:11-12}

Hizb ut Tahrir Kenya
05 Jumadal Awwal 1440 A.H
11/01/2019 C.E

A Purely Secularized Somalia: An America Dream

The media reported the arrest of Mukhtar Robow “Abu Mansur” on Thursday, 13 December 2018, at a routine meeting summoned by the acting president of the Somalia’s South West State in the region’s city of Baidoa, where he was promptly arrested by Ethiopian officers and transferred to an Ethiopian army base on the city’s outskirts before flying him to Mogadishu.

Mukhtar Robow “Abu Mansur” is a former deputy leader and spokesman of Al-Shabaab group, originally formed to fight the “Ethiopian occupation.” Before joining Al-Shabaab, in early 2000s he participated in the anti-Soviet Jihad in Afghanistan advocated primarily by Saudi Arabia, Pakistan and America. Then later became a long-time leader of the Somali Islamist movement, he helped reconstitute elements of Al Itihaad Al Islamiyya, which the Ethiopian army crushed in 1997, into the Union of Islamic Courts, which briefly held power in most of south-central Somalia in 2006-2007, then disbanded after another Ethiopian invasion.

Mukhtar Robow “Abu Mansur” was on American list of the Reward for Justice (RFJ) with a bounty of USD\$5 million on him on 7 June 2012; and later removed from it on 23 June 2017 following discussions between America and the Somali government. In addition, on 13 August 2017 he made news by surrendering to Somali forces in what was widely hailed as a historic defection. Once again, on 4 October 2018 he made news by declaring his candidature in November 17, 2018 elections to be held in the South West State (SWS).

The above clear confirm that indeed Mukhtar Robow is nothing but another American sub-servant in Somalia. Since he is famous and influential in his clan, therefore, his declaration for the upcoming elections was encouraged by the Federal Government in order to challenge Sharif Hassan Sheikh Aden, who was by then president of the South West State, and was opposing Mogadishu’s policies and seeking a second term. However, on 7 November 2018 Sharif Hassan Sheikh Aden resigned hastily when he realized that he could not survive Mogadishu’s meddling and Robow’s influence. The departure of Sharif Hassan marked the end of usefulness of the Mukhtar Robow’s since the obstacle to the Somalia Federal Government was no longer existing. Therefore, the Federal Government postponed the elections thrice from November 17 to December 5 then to December 19, 2018. Furthermore, they pushed the SWS Electoral Commission to disqualify Robow as a candidate since he did not go through its Defector Rehabilitation Program for vetting ex-members of Al-Shabaab. However, Robow became persistence and so he forced the Federal Government to arrest him. Ultimately, he could not participate in the elections that

were won by the favored former Energy Minister Abdiaziz Hassan Mohamed “Laftagareen” on Wednesday, 19 December 2018.

America wants to ensure that Somalia remains a purely secularized state managed by adequately indoctrinated agent leaders like Farmaajo and not led by figureheads like “Mukhtar Robow” who still symbolizes their so-called extremist Islam but they can utilize such individuals when they feel their interests are threatened. Despite human rights complaints about their so-called “dangerous past”, their usefulness is dependent on the interests of the colonial west led by America who is the primary blood spiller across the globe and who cares less for the so-called human rights since they are the owners of the said organizations. Therefore, what is happening to Mukhtar Robow is not strange especially now that America wants to stranglehold the Horn of Africa through its loyal puppet leaders led by Prime Minister Abiy Ahmed of Ethiopia. Through his execution of the American Policy on the Horn of Africa by uniting Ethiopia, Eritrea, Somalia and Djibouti. Hence, America appointed Donald Yamamoto as Ambassador to Somalia after almost 28 years since the collapse of their agent Siad Barre regime in 1991.

Somalia’s leadership must wake up and realize that true victory, honor and dignity brought about by genuine peace, security and tranquility can only be achieved by seeking the pleasure of Allah (swt). That is by submitting themselves to the ruling of Allah (swt) through their embracement of the call of the resumption of the Islamic way of life through the re-establishment of the Khilafah (Caliphate). A Khilafah ruling/judging by the Qur’an and Sunnah and not by the invalid secular capitalist ideology and its stinking systems emanating from it including the so-called governance system of democracy, which is peddled as the guide not only for Somalia but across the world.

وَمَنْ أَحْسَنُ مِنَ اللَّهِ حُجْمًا

“But who is better than Allah in Judgment”.

Ali Nassoro Ali

Member of the Media Office of Hizb ut Tahrir in Kenya

The Prophet's (saw) Methodology for Revival

20th Halaqa: The Stage of Seizing Authority from the Umma



In order to implement Islam comprehensively and making the rule for Allah only. In this Halaqa we are discussing the third stage of the stages of the Da'wah of the Messenger (saw), which is seizing authority and establishing the state/government.

The Establishment Point

And from it we imply the place and locality where there is a good society that establishes a state i.e. people embracing the Islamic thoughts, and all give the material power (Nusrah). Or a section of people of power gives their Nusrah so that Islam reaches authority, since general support from the public emanating from the public opinion generated by public awareness, in its own cannot catapult Islam to authority, but rather it is the Nusrah organized by the natives through sacrifice in the course of Allah which handed over authority to the Messenger (saw)-after the tawfiq of Allah – and took Islam to the reigns of leadership.

The third stage commenced by the establishment of the Islamic state in Medina al-Munawarah after the completion of the second pledge of Aqabah; revelation of verses began, and Muslims found it easier at that time to fight Jihad with their enemies through their power.

And here it is inevitable to dwell on the second pledge, since during the Hajj season in the 13th year of his (saw) Prophethood, the Ansar gave the Messenger (saw) the second pledge (oath of allegiance) of Aqabah.

This Bay'ah was not a Bay'ah for his messengership,

but rather it was a pledge of leadership and authority, it is a pledge of execution and not a pledge of belief, the Messenger (saw) was given the pledge as a leader/ruler and not as a messenger and prophet, because accepting messengership and prophethood is from the acts of belief, and does not require a Bay'ah; therefore, giving the pledge to the Messenger (saw) is to recognize him as the head of the government, they gave him the pledge of war, to fight the red and the black, they gave him the pledge to defend and protect him from what they defend and protect their wives and children... They gave him the pledge to establish the Islamic State, in which the Messenger (saw) will be the ruler and the head of state, where he commands and is obeyed and his orders are executed in every way.

HIJRA WAS ACCOMPLISHED, AND THE STATE WAS ESTABLISHED, ARMS WERE CARRIED, AND PERMANENT STRUGGLES BEGAN BETWEEN THE ISLAMIC STATE AND THE KUFR STATES.

And within a few years the kafir and shirk state was wiped away, the Arabian Peninsula was cleansed, the manhaj of Allah was spread, and Islam was carried outside the peninsula through DA'WAH and JIHAD.

The Ansar fulfilled their Bay'ah (oath of allegiance) to the Messenger (saw) until one of their singers sang with happiness from the incident:

**It is we who gave Bay'ah to Muhammad
For Jihad in our entire life**

Q&A

Sweden's Agreement and its Repercussions on the Tragedy of Yemen

Question:

On 18/12/2018, France 24 website reported: "Clashes broke out in the city of Hodeidah in Yemen between the forces loyal to the government and the Houthis, minutes after the ceasefire agreement brokered under the United Nations was concluded..." (France 24, 18/12/2018). On 17/12/2018 Arabic.Sputniknews website reported: "A member of the delegation of Ansar Allah said that the Sweden agreement did not include the handing over of the Hodeidah port or the exit of the Houthis from the city, to which the Yemeni Minister of Information Muammar al-Iryani responded by saying that these statements are understood as a coup against the agreement itself, which its signature's ink did not dry up yet (still fresh), stressing that the agreement stated the withdrawal of the militias from Hodeidah and its ports, Hodeidah, Salif and Ras Issa."

The question is: How is there a dispute in the text of the agreement when the ink of its signing is still fresh?! And how can clashes break out minutes after its implementation? So why is there an American and British welcome to the agreement? Is the tragedy of Yemen expected to end with this agreement? May Allah reward you.

Answer: Before going into the subject of the Sweden agreement, which its talks began on Thursday 6/12/2018 and ended with an open handshake on 13/12/2018, the Yemeni situation should be summarized as follows:

First, after the Houthis seized control of Sanaa and after the actual expulsion of Hadi's government from the capital, and the taking control of most of Yemen, they became in dire need of some kind of "legitimacy" to their rule. America was trying to get them "legitimacy". But this was not easy, since the political center in Yemen is greatly pro-British, so the greatest aspiration of America was the acceptance of the Houthis as an active part of the political map of Yemen. The Houthis are a minority in northern Yemen especially in Saada, and they have no acceptance among the general public, so America worked to support the Houthis to become an active player in Yemen so that no rule would continue without them, and this was by the following steps:

1- It made Saudi Arabia attack by the air operation "decisive storm", not to eliminate the Houthis; otherwise, they would have deployed ground forces, but to show the Houthis as the defenders of Yemen in front of warplanes, appearing as oppressed and at the same time heroes, and therefore gain popular acceptance and public

opinion.

2- Hadi, the Yemeni president loyal to the English, was made as a captive in Saudi Arabia, and thus they can easily put pressure on him whenever the plans required.

3- It influenced the Security Council to send envoys to Yemen loyal to America, and succeeded in this, thus, Jamal bin Omar, and Ould Cheikh were sent.

Britain, which has been influential in Yemen for decades, knows that the movement in the south and the Houthis in the north are the tools of America to penetrate its overwhelming influence in Yemen. By the entry of the Houthis into Sanaa and the rest of Yemen and their access to significant Iranian military support, Britain saw that its influence in Yemen began to shake, especially after the Saudi role in Yemen, so Britain rushed to respond to America's plans and tools:

a- The role played by the UAE parallel with that of the Saudi role. Indeed, the UAE played a decisive role in restoring the city of Aden and the rest of the cities in the south from the hands of the Houthis, and by this role it created a southern movement that neutralized the American agents in the movement and made their role secondary, and secured the south.

b- It introduced the old agent, Ali Saleh, in the north on the line of the Houthis. He became their ally and in their ranks, so that Britain share the role with the Houthis if they take power, and he almost succeeded in his mission before they assassinated him.

c- It worked hard to send a UN envoy loyal to it, and it was able to do so, so British Martin Griffith was appointed as a new international envoy in Yemen.

Second: Britain knew that the backbone of the Houthis is Iran's support. After the closure of Sanaa airport and the control of the southern ports, the port of Hodeidah became the only semi-Iranian artery to support the Houthis. This is why the UAE went to Hodeidah to control it. Attitudes and battles around Hodeidah were facing a major US rejection under humanitarian pretext, and that the port of Hodeidah provides Yemen with aid to millions of Yemenis as if America had a consideration for humanity. It trampled with its agents in Syria under the United Nations with the siege of the suffocating cities and with explosive barrels.

But it wanted to find justification to keep the port of Hodeidah open to Iranian military support, which for

Cont... page 13

a year or more included the ballistic missiles that the Houthis have launched on Saudi Arabia, as well as the drones launched on targets in the UAE. In contrast, Saudi Arabia was maneuvering its aircraft without the actual striking of the Houthi bases, for example Houthi forces besieged Taiz and the positions of its military forces were exposed to air control and so those bases were not difficult to hit and lift the siege, yet the siege is still in place! The UAE was actually fighting the Houthis until it almost drove the Houthis out of Hodeidah if it wasn't for the American pressure through Saudi Arabia!

Thus, America's plans and tools did not meet with Britain's plans and tools. America was inclined toward a political settlement after it guaranteed the Houthis' control over important parts of Yemen. Britain was waiting for more defeats of the Houthis to accept the withdrawal, which would make them return to Saada's Square before going to the actual political settlement. Therefore, all the previous negotiations were nothing more than political "games" to pass the time. Therefore, such talks were unsuccessful, such as the Kuwait negotiations and the Geneva negotiations in early September, when Houthi delegation did not even arrive...etc. Thus, the negotiations failed and the Houthis stopped in the face of the great dangers of the imminent takeover of Hodeidah and its ports by the UAE-backed forces, after they were on their outskirts. In the meantime, the most prominent role was for the UAE, which mobilized and recruited local militias for the battles of Hodeidah. Saudi Arabia was in an embarrassing position; it could not reject this Emarati direction because they are "allies" on the surface in the Yemeni war against the declared goal of the Houthis! And because the United States prevents the attack on Hodeidah, the UAE, and behind it Britain, chose a time when America was engaged in a more pressing issue to it. The battles of Hodeidah broke out on 8 and 9/6/2018 (Alhurra 10/6/2018) at a time when America was heavily engaged in preparation for the Trump Summit with the North Korean leader held in Singapore on 12/6/2018.

That is, it chose a time when America is almost paralyzed from stopping the attack. And so it was... the United Nations Security Council failed to stop the Hodeidah battles: "The United Nations Security Council failed on Thursday to agree to an immediate suspension of an attack by the Arab coalition led by Saudi Arabia and the United Arab Emirates on the city of Hodeidah." (Al Jazeera Net 15/6/2018). The Hodeidah battles and the possibility of the control of the United Arab Emirates and the militias backed by them on the port of Hodeidah were the greatest threat to the rule of the Houthis in Yemen. Therefore, the Houthi mobilized all his forces to prevent it from happening, and America mobilized its officials to lament on the humanitarian situation in Yemen and on the fact that Hodeidah port is the lifeline to stop the famine in Yemen. The UAE and its local allies were waiting for international opportunities to launch more attacks and try to advance on the ground leading to the

imposition of the reality on the ground on the city and port, which was achieved in part and became a major threat to the Houthis and hence America's next influence in Yemen. In recent months, intermittent battles around Hodeidah have become the most dangerous part of the Yemeni war. In particular, America has not been able to disengage the Saudi-Emarati alliance in Yemen, nor can it stop the war from Saudi Arabia's side alone, including the damages as a result on the Saudi leadership role of the Gulf states, and the situation remained in place until the issue of the great embarrassment for Saudi Arabia, which followed the brutal assassination of Saudi journalist Jamal Khashoggi in early October 2018.

Third: the assassination of the Saudi journalist Khashoggi in Istanbul led to the surfacing of new circumstances around Saudi Arabia that could be employed for US interests:

1- The Saudi security services carried out a brutal act in their consulate in Istanbul, which led to an international campaign against Saudi Arabia, implicitly and sometimes publicly, calling for accounting Saudi Crown Prince Muhammad Bin Salman for carrying out a heinous act against any human values. Although Saudi Arabia and other criminal rulers in the Muslim region have committed worse atrocities against its citizens than the assassination of a Saudi journalist in Istanbul, but this act has provided enough emotional sentiments, which have led to many countries' strongest condemnation. The European countries wanted to use this to weaken America's agent, Bin Salman, or to remove him from power if possible, but America was quick to provide the international cover up to Bin Salman, through President Trump's tweets expressing confidence in what the Saudi crown prince says, thus removing away the accusation. Trump said openly that he would not give up arms contracts with Saudi Arabia due to the benefits of curbing unemployment in the United States, which increased the pressure of Congressmen in Trump's administration, which accused it of selling what Congress members call "American values" for Saudi money. The Congress then issued a rare historic rebuke for President Donald Trump's defense of Muhammad Bin Salman: (In a rare historic rebuke to President Donald Trump, the US Senate voted on Thursday to end US military support for the war in Yemen and Saudi Crown Prince Mohammed Bin Salman was held responsible for the killing of the journalist. Saudi Jamal Khashoggi ... In a historic step the Senate voted 56 to 41 to end military support for the Saudi-led campaign in Yemen. (Reuters 14/12/2018)

2- When many members of Congress expressed concern about the need to stop dealing with the Saudi Crown Prince, "the loyal American agent" and some called for stopping arms supplies to Saudi, which Trump boasts by, for the impact it has on the creation of jobs in America, Trump's administration then rushed to distract attention from focusing on Khashoggi's case and accusing Saudi

Cont... page 14

Arabia of it to another important issue that shows that Saudi Arabia stands with human rights, peace and security, and that it cooperates with the United Nations. The son of Salman's cooperation was promoted during the Swedish talks: "United Nations' Antonio Guterres is expected to attend final talks in Sweden in support of the efforts of his peace envoy in Yemen to start a political process to end the on-going four-year war. The official Saudi Press Agency said that Guterres phoned Saudi Crown Prince Mohammed Bin Salman to discuss "the latest developments in the Yemeni arena, and efforts exerted on them." (Reuters, 12/12/2018).

The Secretary-General of the United Nations, Antonio Guterres, focused on Bin Salman's contribution: The Secretary-General of the United Nations, Antonio Guterres revealed to Al Arabiya channel on Thursday the role played by the Crown Prince, Mohammed Bin Salman, in Yemen's historic agreement today between Ansar Allah and the Yemeni government ... UN Deputy Spokesman Farhan Haq told reporters at the UN headquarters in New York on Thursday that Guterres felt that the Crown Prince's contribution "was very important to the outcome of the consultations" (Arabic. Sputniknews 13/12/2018). Thus, America highlighted his role remarkably to the extent to which Griffith was obliged to praise this role: Griffith said in a videoconference from Jordan that the negotiating Yemeni parties succeeded in reaching a deal and he added: "I thank the Crown Prince, his Royal Highness Prince Mohammed Bin Salman, who has confirmed his vital and personal support for this process (Al-Watan News 14/12/2018) All of this shows that America was interested in holding the agreement for three reasons:

First: improving the image of Saudi Arabia, and the **second:** removing the international embarrassment from Saudi Arabia and covering up the issue of the Saudi journalist, **and the third:** blackmail Saudi Arabia financially! This is most important one to Trump ... America certainly does not want to improve the image and remove the embarrassment for the eyes of its agents Mohammed Bin Salman and his father, but by this act it will appear to have saved them from "trouble" and then exploit it in the "suction" of more money from the Saudi oil as a price for removing the international embarrassment from them, and this fits Trump's commercial "extortionist" mentality based on the policy of "pay us"!

3- What indicates this US interest in conducting the agreement is what is issued by officials in America as well as the drafting power of what was issued, including:

"The United States called for a cease-fire in Yemen within 30 days, stressing the need to stop the Arab coalition led by Saudi Arabia, bombing civilian populated areas in Yemen. Defense Secretary James Mattis called on the parties to the Yemeni conflict to cease fire within 30

days, And to enter into serious negotiations to end the war in the country. Mattis said, during a speech at a seminar organized by the American Institute of Peace in Washington, on Tuesday: ("For a long-term solution we want a cease-fire, withdrawal from the border, and the cessation of aerial bombardment... All parties involved in the conflict in Yemen should sit at the negotiating table in the next 30 days." "He stressed that" warring parties in Yemen must move forward towards peace efforts, "he continued:" We need to do that during the next thirty days, and I think that Saudi Arabia and the UAE are ready to proceed with the matter." (Gulf Online 10/31/2018)

- The United States sent Secretary-General of the United Nations Guterres to attend the negotiations of Sweden and pressure the negotiating delegations to ensure reaching of an agreement or the beginning of an agreement, and not leave things to the British International envoy Griffith: "UN Secretary-General Antonio Guterres is scheduled to attend the final talks in Sweden in support of his envoy's efforts for peace in Yemen to start a political process to end the war that has been going on for nearly four years." (Reuters 12/12/2018).

- Saudi Arabia's pressure on Yemeni President Hadi to accept the offered agreement: sources told Al-Jazeera that Saudi Arabia pressed the Yemeni President Abed Rabbo Mansour Hadi to guide the delegation of the Yemeni government in the consultations of Sweden to agree to a cease-fire agreement in the city of Hodeidah and its port. The sources reported that the government delegation submitted a paper to Hadi, who "lives in Riyadh", recommending not to sign the agreement because it does not explicitly stipulate that the Houthis will leave the city of Hodeidah and its ports, but the Yemeni president was directed to sign it after severe pressure from Saudi Arabia over the past few hours, according to the source (Al-Jazeera.net 13/12/2018)

- Hastily appointing a UN General to monitor the cease-fire in Hodeidah: "The United Nations, chose a Dutch general, to head a ceasefire monitoring mission between the Yemeni parties. The United Nations envoy to Yemen, Martin Griffith, said the retired Dutch general, Patrick Cammaert, had agreed to lead the monitoring mission in Yemen and added that Cammaert could arrive in the region in a matter of days." (Yemen News 14/12/2018). Yemen's opinion website published on 20/12/2018: "UN spokesperson Stephane Dujarric said, the chairman of the committee, retired Dutch general Patrick will travel to Jordan tomorrow on Thursday, then to Sanaa and from there to Hodeidah .."

- America's public welcoming of the agreement. US Secretary of State, Pompeo welcomed the agreement, saying that: "peace is possible in Yemen" (BBC 14/12/2018). He said in a statement: "These

consultations between the Republic of Yemen Government and the Houthis marked a pivotal first step... Peace is possible. All parties have an opportunity to build upon this momentum and improve the lives of all Yemenis Moving forward, all must continue to engage, de-escalate tensions, and cease ongoing hostilities.” (Yemeni Scene 14/12/2018)

- The US Ambassador’s striking contact with the Houthis: “US Ambassador to Yemen, Matthew Toller, said during his attendance at the conference and the negotiations in Stockholm: “We held an official meeting between the ambassadors and a group, including a member of the Houthi delegation ... In my own way I had contact with some individuals in the Houthi team in a place that you describe as beautiful, it is a really beautiful meeting.” He was asked if the meeting was direct and official with them, he said: “Any meeting I hold is official, I am the US ambassador to Yemen 24 hours a day” (Saudi Arabia, Middle East, Arab News 13/12/2018).

Fourth: Although the agreement of Sweden was under American pressure and then it welcomed it as mentioned above, Britain also welcomed it because America created a pressuring loud public opinion about the humanitarian motives and tragedies of famine in Yemen and the diseases of children as a result of wars and the dead and wounded etc..., as if these things have arisen today! Thus, the atmosphere created by America to conclude the agreement left no choice for Britain but to welcome it, but in its way to ride the wave to change its destination or at least to ease its speed, it welcomed the agreement: The British Foreign Ministry on Tuesday, welcomed the ceasefire in Yemen ... British Foreign Secretary Jeremy Hunt praised the efforts of the United Nations Special Envoy to Yemen, Martin Griffith, in a tweet on the British Foreign Office’s Twitter website ... (Yemen Net 18/12/2018)

At the same time it submitted a draft resolution to the Security Council to discuss the agreement on the pretext of how to implement it:

“Britain is seeking another UN Security Council resolution and presented the draft resolution to the Council for discussion,” British delegate to the UN Karen Pierce said: “As the holder of the Yemen file at the UN Council, the UK will resume working with all colleagues in the Security Council resolution to ratify the agreements reached by the parties, support their implementation and enable the United Nations to monitor the compliance of the parties and to put forward the next urgent steps.”(Yemen Youth site quoting the British government site 14/12/2018).

- On Tuesday, diplomats said: The UN Security Council is studying a British draft resolution that asks UN Secretary-General Antonio Guterres to submit proposals by the end of this month on how to monitor the ceasefire in Hodeidah, and Britain has circulated the draft resolution

to support the agreement in the Security Council. It is not clear when it will be put to the vote, where the resolution needs 9 votes in favour and no use of the veto right by the United States or France or Britain or China or Russia ... (Al Ain News 18/12/2018)

The British presented this project as its excuse to be involved in the agreement on the pretext of how to control and implement and withdraw, to prolong it, so the conflicting parties in the land of Yemen do not worry about the number of dead from its people and the size of destruction in its structure but to achieve their interests. Therefore, we can say:

America and its allies in the region, especially Saudi Arabia, are serious about ending the Yemeni war today and head to negotiations leading to a significant share of Yemen’s rule for the Houthis, the followers of Iran and therefore the followers of America. The seriousness of this was seen by holding the Sweden negotiations. But this American approach does not mean that America can achieve it under the great English influence in Yemen. Britain sent its Foreign Minister to Sweden’s negotiations on 13/12/2018 to support its international envoy Griffith in front of his President Guterch, the Secretary-General of the United Nations; this is why the agreement was limited to Hodeidah only, and the other files, especially Sanaa airport, were postponed to future rounds. Also the positions of the government delegation were also skeptical of the implementation of what was reached, indicating that it was under the pressure of Saudi Arabia and the Secretary-General of the United Nations, and America behind them.

Al-Yamani said during a press conference held at the conclusion of negotiations in the Swedish town of Rimbo that the Yemeni government had concluded 75 agreements with the Houthis, but they did not abide by them. He accused Houthis of refusing to lift the siege on the city of Taiz ... With regard to the Sanaa airport file, al-Yamani said that the government was ready to open it to international flights through Aden airport, but the Houthis rejected this initiative. Al-Yamani said that “the project to end the coup begins from Hodeidah.” He said the United Nations and the international community bear the responsibility to force the Houthis to implement the agreements on Hodeidah and prisoner exchange ... Commenting on Secretary-General of the United Nations António Guterres announcement of plans of holding a new round, he said: “New consultation rounds should not be contemplated unless the current agreed one is implemented.”(Russia Today 13/12/2018). All this opens up the door for a great deal of evasion from the agreement during implementation and the delay in holding other rounds.

Therefore, it is likely that the implementation of the Hodeidah agreement will be difficult, as indicated by the clashes that took place on 14 and 15 and 16/12/2018 on

Cont... page 16

the outskirts of Hodeidah, shortly after the declaration of the Sweden agreement, which the United Nations was forced to justify: UN source said: "While the Hodeidah Agreement states an immediate cease-fire, it is normal that the issue takes 48 to 72 hours for orders to reach the operation field ... We expect the ceasefire to be implemented from Tuesday." (Reuters 16/12/2018)

Thus, it is expected that the situation will continue to go forth and back. On the one hand, America wants to close the military scene, especially in Hodeidah and its ports, and then move on to political solutions with the survival of the Houthis "voice" as in Hodeidah. On the British side, there are pretexts to continue fighting to achieve as much as possible to weaken the Houthis and to silence them in Hodeidah before heading for a political solution.

Fifth: As for the last question (and is it expected that this agreement will end the crisis of Yemen?) It is as follows: This agreement does not solve the crisis in Yemen due to the conflict of interests of America and Britain and their local tools that signed it. The maximum result from it is some calm as a rest of a warrior and then things will heat up again, and may in the way of capitalists contain a compromise as a shared rule according to the balance of the forces on both sides. And of course, this does not end the crisis; that is, the events in Yemen will continue to fluctuate, calm down, and then flare up again according to the balance of political and military forces in the conflict. What will end it is one of two things as we mentioned in previous publications:

The first is that America or Britain can resolve matters in its favour and dominate the influence in Yemen. This is far from being achieved, as we have already explained.

The second is closer with Allah's permission that Allah honours this Ummah with the Khilafah (Caliphate), so that the influence of the Kuffar and the colonists will be uprooted from the country and their evils will be eliminated among the people. And so the kufr and its people will be humiliated and Islam and Muslims will be honoured and the believers will rejoice in Allah's victory

وَيَوْمَئِذٍ يَفْرَحُ الْمُؤْمِنُونَ بِنَصْرِ اللَّهِ يَنْصُرُ مَنْ يَشَاءُ وَهُوَ الْعَزِيزُ الرَّحِيمُ

"And that day the believers will rejoice *In the victory of Allah. He gives victory to whom He wills, and He is the Exalted in Might, the Merciful" [Ar-Rum: 4-5]

And the people of Yemen, the people of Iman and wisdom, must evaluate this matter to win in both Worlds, and Allah is the supporter of the righteous.

13 Rabii' al-AI-Akhar 1440 AH
20/12/2018 CE