

UQAB Magazine

PRESS RELEASE

Hizb ut Tahrir / Kenya Holds Picketing in Support of the Messenger of Allah Peace Be Upon Him

NEWS AND COMMENTS

BBI: How can you be trusted And this is the effect of Your Axe?

ARTICLE

America's Inevitable Collapse: Khilafah the Only Alternative

ANSWER TO QUESTION

The Conflict Between Azerbaijan and Armenia



Hizb ut Tahrir / Kenya Holds Picketing in Support of the Messenger of Allah Peace Be Upon Him

Press Release

On Friday 30th October 2020, members of Hizb ut Tahrir/ Kenya held a series of picketing in support of Prophet Muhammad, may Allah bless him and grant him peace. The picketing was conducted after Jumua prayers in main cities of Nairobi and Mombasa as well other coastal towns.

During the picketing, the members of Hizb ut Tahrir raised banners and posters reading:

**Khilafah: Defending Our Prophet is defending our Faith
Khilafah will defend the Honor of Our Prophet**

The picketing was organised in protest against the recent anti-Islamic remarks by the French President Emanuel Macron disparaging Islam and its sanctities as well as supporting the mockery caricature against the noble Messenger (peace be upon him). The speakers unpacked the whole kufr concept of freedom of expression in which, Marcron and his comrades support their fanatics to spread hatred against Muslims and their sanctities.

Freedom of speech which has been made by capitalists as a universal idea, meaning that man has a right to express and advocate openly any opinion on anything or issue without limitations. So the recent defamation of Prophet (saw) by a French teacher Samuel Paty was supported by all the Western leaders, 'the defenders of freedom of expression'. Ironically, the same leaders have set up draconian laws and policies to silence Muslims and movements that oppose political, economic and social oppression. Consequently, those calling to the concepts ideas of re-establishment of Khilafah (Caliphate) and other Islamic ideas are branded as extremists!

The Prophet Muhammad (saw) occupies an integral part of the Islamic faith such that one cannot become a Muslim by believing only in Allah but is also obliged to believe in the Prophethood of the Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him). It is a grave sin to disrespect the dignity of the Prophet Muhammad (saw) as Allah Himself has declared that the Prophet (saw) is in good character. In this sense, Muslims are obliged to love the Prophet (saw) more than any other person.

Anas Bin Malik (ra) reported that the Prophet (saw) said:

« لَا يُؤْمِنُ أَحَدُكُمْ حَتَّىٰ أَكُونَ أَحَبَّ إِلَيْهِ مِنْ وُلْدِهِ وَوَالِدِهِ وَالنَّاسِ أَجْمَعِينَ »

None of you will have faith till he loves me more than his children, his father and all humankind.”

Hizb ut Tahrir / Kenya reiterated in the protest that it is only the Khilafah that will protect and defend the honor of the Noble Messenger (peace be upon him).

**Shabani Mwalimu
Media Representative of Hizb ut Tahrir in Kenya**

America's Inevitable Collapse: Khilafah the Only Alternative

by

Ali Nassoro Ali

Member of the Central Media Office of Hizb ut Tahrir

On Tuesday, 3 November 2020, Americans participated in a hotly contested election pitting the incumbent Donald John Trump and former vice president (now president elect) Joseph Robinette Biden Jr. The 2020 US elections has exposed the true despicable and demagoguery nature of the so called democratic elections. The two presidential front-runners exploited the ideological naïve masses to ride on their shoulders with slogans for change. Hence, despite the fact that nothing of substance will result from them apart from the regular pain inflicted on them emanating from the status quo establishment.

America's global standing is fading at an exhilarating pace that overshadows its past "milk and honey" status. First it was Covid-19 that exposed the fissures on America's weak foundations anchored on huge gap on socio-economic. As the American regime is just focused on growth of GDP at the expense of its citizens' health. Followed by the grotesque killing of George Floyd on 25 May 2020, and the subsequent chaos as a result of the same! In addition, the regime's security apparatus response to the mayhems confirmed that America is founded upon the principle of the rich vs the slaves!

The latest unfolding political conundrum spearheaded by the Donald Trump campaign that alleged this was the most rigged, fraudulent and corrupt elections in history. The Trump campaign strongly alleges that the elections were rigged in favor of Biden via fake mail ballots! Consequently, Trump has not officially conceded defeat and instead his attorneys are preparing to seek justice by prosecuting their cases in court commencing Monday, 9 November 2020! Indeed, the camel's back is broken and beyond repair! To salvage the dire situation, leaders across the world led by Britain, France and Germany had to cover up the total failure of their secular capitalist ideology and its democratic system via sending their congratulatory messages to president elect Biden.

The laudatory messages are not to be mistaken for seeking an audience with the new occupier of the White House, in terms of undoing some policy decisions presumed as miscalculated political blunders by the Trump regime. Alas! They are more to do with legitimizing the Biden regime and in essence safeguarding their dying god: secular capitalist dogma and its democratic system, that is facing an existential threat. America prides itself to be the global beacon and promoter of democracy. Hence, collapsing of America means the death of their god!



From the word go, the secular capitalist ideology has failed to live up to its promise to deliver tranquility and prosperity to the citizens. However, it has presented unfathomable pain and unending catastrophes to-date! Since all the poisonous systems emanating from it and include the social liberal system has permeated and destroyed the society in totality under the premise of seeking 'unlimited freedom.' The economic system is anchored on oppression of the poor by the elites via mindboggling and exploitative taxation and loan policies. Then, later hoodwink the burdened with little left over crumbs! The education system is based on pacifying the masses into subservience to the elites and ensure that their thinking is within the secular box! As for its democratic system of governance is based on the elites using the crowds as political ladders to ascend or maintain power at whatever cost in the name of democracy!

Therefore, whoever wins the electoral dispute unfolding in America will offer absolutely nothing new in terms of alleviating the global deterioration of humanity's conditions! Since all the competitors are masters in reinventing themselves to suit prevailing circumstance but within the secular established status quo. America will continue to tighten economic nooses against her

Cont... page 4

enemies via her financial institutions such as World Bank (WB) and International Monetary Fund (IMF), kill and topple them when need be all over the world. To be more specific, Islam and Muslims under the American authority will continue to suffer under the pretext of war on terrorism and extremism. The said war has led to discrimination and oppression of Muslims across the world! Just to mention a few examples, it is under the watch of America that the filthy Jewish entity is emboldened to continue its brutality and massacring of Muslims and desecrating Islamic sanctities that include the first Muslims' Qibla, al-Aqsa. In addition, France is unceasingly attacking the honor of our beloved Prophet Muhammad PBUH via cartoons! On the hand, China is committing a genocide against Muslims of Xinjiang; while Russia is imprisoning Muslims under the pretext of being terrorists! Same case in Middle East, Africa, Asia etc.

Only the ones with uncluttered minds will recognize the eminent collapse of America. The question is who should take over the global leadership? The answer must strictly be sought outside the secular creed if we are to arrive at a genuine solution. Secularism is the root cause of the global pandemonium that in itself needs to be removed! The answer is none other than the Islamic ideology under the Khilafah (Caliphate) reestablished on the method of Prophethood. The Khilafah ruled for almost 13 centuries and its peaceful and prosperous history is in the public domain. Now is the time for increased Islamic political activism in readiness to assume the global leadership role.

#TowardsIslamicLight via #ReturnTheKhilafah.

BBI: How can you be trusted And this is the effect of Your Axe?

News: On 25th October 2020, the Building Bridges Initiative (BBI) Report was officially launched to the public at the Bomas of Kenya. The launch of the report comes nearly a year after the first draft was unveiled at the same venue in November 2019, after which the task force team retreated to collect views of Kenyans to be included in the final report. The road map for the BBI is that there will be implementation committee that will be tasked to actualize the report and identify which bills should be done first through constitutional amendment, Legislation and administrative policy. The main proposals of the BBI are; expanding the structure of governance by creating the office of prime minister and his two deputies. Increasing the National Assembly members from 349 to 360. Senators to shoot up from the current 67 to 94.

Comment:

The BBI report is a brain child of the 'golden handshake' between President Uhuru Kenyatta and former Prime Minister Raila Odinga. Since the report of the steering committee on the BBI was released, there has been heated debate on whether proposals in the document will make life tougher or better for Kenyans. Apart from that, while the President seems to be rallying Kenyans to support the initiative, his Deputy William Ruto has been traversing the country, accompanied by his loyalists criticizing the agenda and campaigning for 2022 election with his 'Hustler Narrative'. In the last election campaign they were both roaming the country selling their narrative 'Tuko Pamoja' but just two years down the line they criticize each other polarizing the country. Likewise, Raila Odinga who was then a fiery rival for Uhuru and after

putting a country into a standstill and now calls Uhuru a brother. Indeed this shows in Democratic politics there are no permanent enemies nor permanent friends but permanent interests.

As for proposals of expanding the system of governance, it shows clearly that the political expediency will be the only beneficiary in the big government and not the common man. Notably the current Kenyan MPs remain among the highest-paid legislators in the world, beating even their counterparts in advanced economies. Definitely the common man will be milked to the highest extent to feed the Big Government. This is a real state of self-interest politics of Democracy which is ever becoming the most lucrative business of the politicians. No matter a big government is, the welfare of the ordinary citizens remain a mirage in Democracy. The really story of those rallying behind the BBI is the same story of those who oppose it. They both struggle for power and nothing else.

The BBI lists many problems, but fails to unfold the prime source of all problems. The biggest challenge facing the country is its bounding to the rotten system of Capitalism. Therefore nothing proposed seems likely to seriously change that situation. Additionally the architects of the BBI will not solve the problems since themselves are the schemers **of the problems their situation is a like an Arab parable which goes by saying How do I trust you and this is the effect your axe?**

Kenya and the World at large needs a profound ideology of Islam, which has a strong creed that produces laws

Cont... page 5

of Allah - the Creator of the man, universe and life. Upon its foundation the Government is established, economic and social systems are founded. Furthermore, upon through this creed, people are bonded together to be a unified nation all being the servants of Allah (swt). This is how Islam for centuries under the Khilafah (Caliphate) produced the real politicians pious who had true political will that made them to bring political, economic and social stability. We certainly believe that God willing the Khilafah will come very soon leading the world into true politics that will usher truth and justice for the whole world.

Shabani Mwalimu
Media Representative
Hizb ut-Tahrir Kenya



By the Khilafah and Jihad for the sake of Allah, We Support the Messenger of Allah (saw) and will Liberate Masjid Al-Aqsa

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ
[إِنَّ الَّذِينَ يُحَادُّونَ اللَّهَ وَرَسُولَهُ أُولَئِكَ فِي الْأَذْهَانِ * كَتَبَ اللَّهُ لَأَغْلِبَنَّ أَنَا وَرُسُلِي إِنَّ اللَّهَ قَوِيٌّ عَزِيزٌ]

“Indeed, the ones who oppose Allah and His Messenger - those will be among the most humbled * Allah has written, “I will surely overcome, I and My messengers.” Indeed, Allah is Powerful and Exalted in Might” [Al-Mujadila: 20-21]

By the Khilafah and Jihad for the sake of Allah,
We Support the Messenger of Allah (saw) and will Liberate Masjid Al-Aqsa
(Translated)

O Muslims around the globe:

You have seen Macron's hatred and black poisonous animosity against the Messenger of Allah (saw). So, what do they hate about Muhammad, the Messenger of Allah (saw)?! They hate Allah's Deen, the Deen of truth, the Deen of monotheism (Tawheed), because the French and Western civilisation is a disbelieving civilization; its false and crooked, they hate the Messenger of Allah (saw) who calls for purity and chastity and preserves the honour, money and blood; because their civilization is a civilization of immorality, vice and perversion. They hate the Messenger of Allah (saw), the Prophet of mercy, guidance and light to the worlds; because the French and the Western civilisation is a bloody colonial civilization that killed people and plundered their goods. France's crimes against Muslims are numerous, as it was a spearhead in the Crusades, and killed about two million martyrs in Algeria alone.

O Muslims: What encouraged the wicked, deceitful Macron to attack our Prophet and our Deen?!

Would he dared to attack us if our ruler was As-Siddiq or Al-Farouq!! Or Al-Rasheed or Al-Mu'tasim!! Or Salahuddin or Sultan Abdul Hamid?! Would he have dared if the Muslims had a state?! No, by Allah, but rather he was encouraged by the complicity of our villainous rulers, who see our Messenger and our Deen attacked, but they do not move nor speak out!!! Shame on you, O Muslim rulers, O submissive and immoral ones, you are accomplices with Macron in his crime by your silence, it is not enough for you to denounce and condemn. As for your ruling by other than what Allah has revealed, your loyalty to the Jews and the Christians and siding with them, and preventing the Ummah's armies from carrying out Jihad for the sake of Allah (swt). And dividing the Ummah and separating it, is a greater crime and carries the most severe offense, and soon, Allah willing, the day when we will overthrow your thrones will come, and we will throw you into the dustbin of history.

O Muslims around the globe, O Muslim Armies:

Insulting the Messenger of Allah (saw) is an insult to the Ummah and its Deen, and the response to France and its president is not only by denouncing, condemning and boycotting goods, but by closing embassies, mobilising armies, and declaring Jihad for the sake of Allah (swt). The example of Sultan Abdul Hamid and what he did when he stopped a play intended to insult the Messenger of Allah (saw), after he threatened France by declaring war against it, it is the best witness. Therefore, it is a crime that the armies remain silent and do not respond, and only unarmed Muslim individuals respond. It is known from a priori that it is not just individuals who respond to heads of state; rather, the response must be from the state, in a way that makes the offenders of the Messenger of Allah (saw) humiliated.

From the Blessed Land of Palestine, we say to Macron, the enemy of Allah and his Messenger:

O enemy of Allah and His Messenger, prepare for the worse, for the crimes of France and the West behind it, will not be erased from the memory of the Ummah, and the coming Khilafah (Caliphate) will deal accordingly with France and the West. We have an appointment with the Khilafah Rashidah (rightly guided Caliphate) on the method of Muhammad (saw). Its legions will move with takbeers and tahlil to invade France and conquer Rome, to establish justice in them and spread the light in its corners ... Soon, Macron, we will eliminate your corrupt civilization, and purify the earth from the filth of your Capitalism. Soon, Allah willing, we will rule over you with the justice of Islam and its great civilization, so that the people of Europe would realize how deluded they were by the French Revolution and

Cont... page 7

its fallen ideas, and how much they were deprived of the justice and mercy of Islam

[وَسَيَعْلَمُ الْكٰفِرُ لِمَنْ عَشِيَ الدَّارُ]

“...and the disbelievers will know for whom is the final home” [Ar-Ra’d: 42].

O Muslims: Raise the voice aloud:

O Muslim armies, we are calling you. You are from the Ummah of Muhammad (saw), the best Ummah that was brought out to the people, and you are the holders of power, you are the most deserving of the people to support the Messenger of Allah (saw). We are calling you to support Allah’s Deen and establish the Khilafah (Caliphate). We have called you from Masjid Al-Aqsa, we called upon your robustness to liberate it. And today we call upon you again from the Blessed Land - Palestine to rise and support the Messenger of Allah (saw). Go forth, whether light or heavy to liberate Al-Aqsa. We urge you to answer the the call of Allah and His Messenger, and be supporters of Allah and His Messenger, and work with Hizb ut Tahrir and pledge allegiance to it to establish the Khilafah (Caliphate) to establish the Deen of Allah on earth,

[قُلْ اِنْ كُنْتُمْ تُحِبُّونَ اللّٰهَ فَاتَّبِعُونِي يُحْبِبْكُمُ اللّٰهُ وَيَغْفِرْ لَكُمْ ذُنُوبَكُمْ وَاللّٰهُ غَفُوْرٌ رَّحِيْمٌ]

“Say, [O Muhammad], “If you should love Allah, then follow me, [so] Allah will love you and forgive you your sins. And Allah is Forgiving and Merciful.” [Al-i-Imran: 31]

H. 13 Rabi’ I 1442
M. : Friday, 30 October 2020

Hizb-ut-Tahrir
The Blessed Land Palestine



The Global Citizen – A Western Agenda

Written for the Central Media Office of Hizb ut Tahrir by
Maryam Ansari – Pakistan

The effect of colonialism and neocolonialism on the education system in Muslim lands has become clear to the Muslim Ummah. The 21st Century has seen the continued interference in the education curricula of the Muslim countries by the West, through influencing think tanks and government policy. This article will look at the concept of citizenship in the new Single National Curriculum (SNC) of Social Studies for Grade 4 and 5 in Pakistan. The Western concept of citizenship is already present in the O-Level syllabus that is taught in Pakistan, as the O Levels are according to the secular standards of the United Kingdom.

It has a dangerous agenda to promote the concept of citizenship according to the agenda of the UN to universalize concepts of the Western ideology. The Western ideology of capitalism has spread itself around the entire globe through many tools. The UN is one of the effective tools that it has to spread its ideas through the education systems in Muslim lands. The adoption of Western-based education systems has redefined the thoughts of generations of Muslims by exposing them to a foreign culture presented as superior in thoughts, culture and civilization. Subjects such as English, Science, History and Social Studies facilitate this objective. This strategy has resulted in certain concepts, such as democracy and nation state becoming normalized and universalized.

It is natural for any ideology to present its belief, ideas and systems to the world. The Islamic ideology also seeks to dominate the world by presenting its belief, ideas and systems. However, the methods, means and styles to achieve these objectives differ vastly. The West seeks to impose its world order for the benefit of the capitalists, whereas Islam establishes a world order on the basis of justice. The West spent the first half of the 20th century promoting the concept of nation states. The UN was designed to facilitate this restructuring of the world order. The Uthmani Khilafah was thus dismembered and the diverse peoples, who had lived under the rule of Islam for many centuries, were forced to migrate to other lands in the name of self-determination, nationalism and nation states. Sovereignty to the nation state became embedded in the new world order. The idea of any state expanding its borders through conquest was made illegal through 'international law'. This was a direct attack on the foreign policy of the Islamic ideology. Thus, the normalization of nation states was completed. Further, the concept of democracy as a system of ruling was propagated as being the best and most progressive

system. However, the contradiction of this weak concept was apparent. The West created agent rulers in the guise of monarchs and dictators, and established relations with them to further their objectives. So in reality, the concept of democracy has always been nothing more than a benchmark set by the West to judge the progressiveness of any nation.

Post-World War 2 and with the creation of the UN, citizenship was defined to be based on nationality and not belief. The concept of Ummah was eradicated and Muslims became loyal to the flag presented to them by their colonial masters under the illusion of Independence. In the late 20th century, the Western ideology propagated heavily the phenomenon of Globalization. The true agenda of this concept is hidden by the mirage of a harmonious and unified world. Globalization has many definitions: "Globalization constitutes integration of national economies into the International economy through trade, direct foreign investment (by corporations and multinationals), short-term capital flows, international flows of workers and humanity generally, and flows of technology" (Bhagwati, 2006) and "Globalization is the growing integration of economies and societies around the world" (Collier and Dollar, 2001).

It is alleged that the term 'globalization' does not stipulate any particular type of society and is said to be a process of worldwide spatial expansion and integration. However, on closer examination, it is the Western ideology that has expanded from the North to the South; there has been no transfer of ideas, values or systems from the South to the North. Globalization has been the process by which the Western ideology has promoted its belief of secularism, its ideas of freedom, its values of human rights and its system of democracy around the globe. The universalized popular culture is a testament of this fact. This is the Western ideology that seeks to promote the concept of the global citizen that embodies its values. Anyone who doesn't conform to this is an extremist or intolerant. Thus, the Western ideology has created a narrative about what is a good citizen based on the adoption of its values.

The new Social Studies curriculum starts with the theme of citizenship with the focus on the 'Global citizen' and defines the following key concepts: Citizens; global and digital citizens; rights and responsibilities; diversity; tolerance; peace and conflict management; common etiquettes (page 25). These words seem harmless, yet they all have ideological connotations which facilitate the

Cont... page 9

domination of the Western ideology. These connotations are discussed below.

Theme 1 – Citizenship: Standard 1 - Identify civic rights and responsibilities and reason why might they change over time.

In any society the concepts of citizenship should be defined from the beliefs of that society. In a democratic society, the citizens' rights and responsibilities are defined by legislation made by Parliament or Congress. These have evolved over many centuries, because that is the nature of manmade laws. In the 1960's, citizens in the UK were not permitted to engage in homosexuality. Within 50 years, not only has the law been changed to accommodate this activity, but it has been redefined as a fundamental right. Further legislation allowed marriage and adoption rights to homosexuals. Thus, the evolving nature of Western laws is evident. The SNC promotes the system of democracy and the historical development of democracy will be contained in textbooks. It is glorified as an evolving system that brings improvement to society. The SNC defines a good citizen as one who follows and promotes democracy as the best form of government and believes in the values of secularism, freedom and democracy which are all pillars of the Western ideology.

The SNC does not mention about the system of governance of Muslims, the Khilafah, in which sovereignty is to Allah (swt) and the rules do not change with time and place. The basis of ruling is Quran and Sunnah, with Ijtihad permitted on new matters on the basis of Usoul. These rules do not change with time and place. The concept of citizen according to Islam is the one who lives under the authority of the Islamic Khilafah (Caliphate). Citizens within the Khilafah can be Muslim or non-Muslim. They can be on any ethnicity. The non-Muslim citizens are known as Ahle-Dhimma, the People of the Contract. They pledge to obey the rules of the state and in return the state safeguards their life, property, belief, mind and honour. Islamic history is full of examples proving that the Khilafah was the only true state that protected the rights of its citizens. No other ideology has been able to do that. The success of the Islamic ideology is due to the concept of Taqwa held by the Muslim rulers, who understand their responsibility to protect the Ahle-Dhimma. The conduct comes from the divinely revealed Sunnah of RasulAllah (saw).

It is a duty upon us to give the people of the Dhimmah the protection given to the Muslims, due to words of the Messenger of Allah (saw),

«أَلَا مَنْ قَتَلَ نَفْسًا مُعَاهِدًا لَهُ ذِمَّةُ اللَّهِ وَذِمَّةُ رَسُولِهِ فَقَدْ أَخْفَرَ بِذِمَّةِ اللَّهِ، فَلَا يُرَخَّ رَائِحَةَ الْجَنَّةِ، وَإِنَّ رِيحَهَا لَيُوجَدُ مِنْ مَسِيرَةِ سَبْعِينَ خَرِيفًا»

“Indeed, whoever kills a person who is granted the pledge of protection (Mu'ahid) that has a covenant from

Allah and a covenant from His Messenger (saw), then he has violated the covenant with Allah and the covenant of His Messenger, so he shall not smell the fragrance of Paradise; even though its fragrance can be sensed from the distance of seventy autumns”, transmitted by Al-Tirmidhi. And Al-Bukhari transmitted it with the words,

«مَنْ قَتَلَ مُعَاهِدًا لَمْ يَرِحْ رَائِحَةَ الْجَنَّةِ وَإِنَّ رِيحَهَا تُوجَدُ مِنْ مَسِيرَةِ أَرْبَعِينَ عَامًا»

“Whoever killed a Mu'ahid (a person who is granted the pledge of protection by the Muslims) shall not smell the fragrance of Paradise though its fragrance can be smelt at a distance of forty years (of traveling).”

The people of Dhimmah enjoy the same rights as those enjoyed by Muslims in terms of managing their affairs and securing their living. It is narrated on the authority of Abu Musa Al-Ash'ari that the Messenger of Allah (saw) said: «يَنْعَمُ الْغُلَامُ الْوَكُفَى، يَضْرِبُ رِمْلًا أَوْ دُوعُو، عَيَّ الْجُلَا أَوْ مَغْطَا» “Give food to the hungry, pay a visit to the sick and release (set free) the one in captivity (by paying his ransom),” transmitted by Al-Bukhari through Abu Musa. And from the narration as transmitted by Abu Dawud in his Sunan,

«عَلَى أَنْ لَا تُهْدَمَ لَهُمْ بَيْعَتُهُ، وَلَا يُخْرَجَ لَهُمْ قَسٌّ، وَلَا يُفْتَنُوا عَنْ دِينِهِمْ مَا لَمْ يُحَدِّثُوا حَدِيثًا أَوْ يَأْكُلُوا الرِّبَا»

“No church of theirs will be demolished and no clergyman of theirs will be turned out. There will be no interruption in their religion (coerced away from their faith) until they introduce something in our matter that does not belong to it or take Riba.”

The Prophet (saw) used to visit their sick, as recorded by Al-Bukhari from Narrated Anas (ra),

«كَانَ غُلَامٌ يَهُودِيٌّ يَخْدُمُ النَّبِيَّ صَلَّى اللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ فَمَرَضَ، فَأَتَاهُ النَّبِيُّ صَلَّى اللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ يَغُودُهُ، فَقَعَدَ عِنْدَ رَأْسِهِ فَقَالَ لَهُ أَسْلِمَ . فَنَظَرَ إِلَى أَبِيهِ وَهُوَ عِنْدَهُ فَقَالَ لَهُ أَطْعَمَ أَبَا الْقَاسِمِ صَلَّى اللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ . فَأَسْلَمَ . فَخَرَجَ النَّبِيُّ صَلَّى اللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ وَهُوَ يَقُولُ الْحَمْدُ لِلَّهِ الَّذِي أَنْقَذَهُ مِنَ النَّارِ»

“A young Jewish boy used to serve the Prophet (saw) and he became sick. So the Prophet (saw) went to visit him. He sat near his head and asked him to embrace Islam. The boy looked at his father, who was sitting there; the latter told him to obey Abul-Qasim and the boy embraced Islam. The Prophet (saw) came out saying “Praises be to Allah Who saved the boy from the Hell-fire.” This indicates that it is permitted to visit them, be courteous and sociable with them.

Al-Bukhari transmitted from Amru Bin Maymun from Umar Bin Al-Khattab (ra) who counseled at the time of his death “And I enjoin the Khalifah after me with this and this, and enjoin him that by the covenant of Allah and His Messenger (saw), he should fulfil their covenant, fight behind them and not force them to work beyond their capacity.”

The classical scholars of Islam thus detailed the rights of the Muslims towards the dhimmi. The famous Maliki jurist, Shihab al-Din al-Qarafi states: The covenant of protection imposes upon us certain obligations toward the ahl al-dhimmah. They are our neighbours, under our shelter and protection upon the guarantee of Allah, His Messenger (saw), and the religion of Islam. Whoever violates these obligations against any one of them by so much as an abusive word, by slandering his reputation, or by doing him some injury or assisting in it, has breached the guarantee of Allah, His Messenger (saw), and the religion of Islam.

Theme 1 – Citizenship: Standard 1- Human Rights- Identify the fundamental human rights as stated by UN.

This standard is clearly in line of the UN agendas as can be seen from Article 18 of the UN Declaration of Human Rights (UNDHR) which states: Everyone has the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion; this right includes freedom to change his religion or belief, and freedom, either alone or in community with others and in public or private, to manifest his religion or belief in teaching, practice, worship and observance.

The human rights discussed in the UNDHR cannot be a criterion for Muslims. Islam has defined rights according to its own belief. Once a Muslim has established an intellectual belief, his/her thoughts, values and emotions become defined by the criteria of Islam, and thus becomes a distinguished Islamic personality. Islam doesn't allow the questioning of its fundamental thoughts, and debate or difference of opinion is confined to matters under the category of Mubah, i.e. permissibility. Islam protects the rights of its citizens in practicing their belief and also compels the Khalifah to protect all rights given by Shariah.

Theme 1 – Citizenship: Standard 1 - Diversity and Tolerance - Understand that all individuals have equal rights, irrespective of religious and ethnic differences, and learn to respect individual differences in opinion.

Diversity and tolerance are considered the basis of democratic societies. Tolerance and the right to pursue any ideology or religion assume great importance. The Western countries consider themselves as role models for democracy and freedom. However, the contradiction of these manmade flimsy ideas has become exposed with the intolerance of Islamic symbols in Europe. The ban on burqa, face veil and minarets in European countries are examples of intolerance for non-Western religions and cultures. The Western media is full of hate speech against Muslims and Islam and is creating an atmosphere of intolerance due to which there is a rise in violent crime against Muslims. Prominent Western leaders and politicians do not hold back their hatred for Islam; rather, they take any opportunity to malign the Islamic ideology, thus causing hatred and intolerance.

The ban on Islamic clothing seeks to amalgamate Muslims into the Western ideal and thus the concept of diversity is another lie that the West promotes, but does not implement.

Islam recognizes that the beliefs of people cannot be changed by force. Islam provided security to all its citizens regardless of belief or ethnicity. Islam allows its non-Muslim citizens to practice their beliefs within their own homes; however, their belief cannot be propagated in society. Before Islam, empires that would conquer nations would oppress the people and force them to adhere to their way of life. The Western ideology is also famous for ethnic cleansing of the Native American population of America and the aborigines of Australia. It is the Western ideology that did not tolerate diversity. Christian missionaries were sent to Africa, Asia, Latin America and South America to force the people to convert to Christianity. The narrative of the West that it produces a diverse and tolerant society is a lie that has been unmasked in the recent Black Lives Matter movement in the US. After 300 years of democracy the US does not give equal rights to its citizens.

The hidden danger for the Muslim Ummah in the terms of 'diversity and tolerance' is designed to attack the Aqeedah of Islam. RasulAllah (saw) warned that people would deviate from the true Aqeedah of Islam. During the Abbasid Khilafah, when the Mutazila deviated in core beliefs, scholars rose to challenge them and prevented them from misguiding Muslims. Those that fabricated Hadith were dealt with by the era of the Muhaditheen who protected Islam through the development of Ulum ul Hadith. During the 1300 years of Islamic rule, the Aqeedah and systems of Islam were protected by the Ummah, the scholars and the rulers. The Shariah protects the Islamic belief by preventing a Muslim from apostatizing. Apostates are dealt with harshly and the hudud punishment of death is applied unless they repent. Thus the supremacy of the Islamic Aqeedah is maintained. Islam allows difference of opinion in the branches of Fiqh in text which is dhanni dalalah and dahnni thuboot only. Islam doesn't allow anyone to change the belief of Islam. Islam also forbids Muslims from changing their Deen and abandoning qatii ahkam.

Theme 1 – Citizenship: Standard 1 - Explain the importance of freedom of speech.

Freedom of speech is another lie that has been exposed particularly since 9/11. Anyone questioning the war on terror is maligned as a supporter of extremism. Hundreds of people in the West have been arrested and detained for their freedom of speech and opinion which goes against the narrative of Western governments. Speaking against the holocaust, and questioning its facts as well as the discussion of the Jewish entity is labeled as anti-Semitic and lands the advocates of this view in jail. This proves that no society can allow its citizens free

speech without restrictions. Freedom of speech is also a benchmark that the West uses to judge progress. Islam restricts the speech and actions of Muslims and confines them to what Allah (swt) deems acceptable. The SNC will open the door for secular minded individuals to start to challenge the basic tenets of Islam and thus confuse the next generation about the Islamic concepts. This is already evident in schools and universities, where a division between secularists and Islam loving students has become apparent.

Theme 1 – Citizenship: Standard 1 - Peace and conflict Management - Understand the importance of discussion and negotiation as tools for resolving conflicts at home and school.

In Pakistan the Mock United Nations (MUN) is a popular feature of the school calendar particularly in private schools. Students are encouraged to debate on controversial issues in the style of the UN. The guidelines for debating has 2 rules – one is that religious edicts cannot be part of the argument and the second is that you must advocate for a concept even if you don't believe in it. The underlying concepts promote that there is no fundamental right

and wrong – everyone can believe what they like and thus can say what they want. Thus, freedom of opinion and speech is seen as a universal value without restriction. However, as we know that contradictions within the Western ideology means that they will always find exceptions to their own rules. For example, talking about Jihad being the foreign policy of the Islamic state can lead to arrest in the West. Thus, the SNC seeks to propagate that the West has the best tools to promote peace and will attempt to universalize this concept. Dialogue and peace processes have become a feature of the Western foreign policy and seen as the best way to bring peace. Normalization with the Jewish occupation and the Afghanistan reconciliation with the US are some examples to show how its is important to reject this concept of conflict management as it is a tool of Western foreign policy. Islam has its own guidelines about peace, but it doesn't allow the Muslims to be oppressed in the process. Allah (swt) commanded,

[وَأَقْتُلُوهُمْ حَيْثُ تَقْتُلُوهُمْ وَأَخْرِجُوهُمْ مِنْ حَيْثُ أَخْرَجُوكُمْ]

“And slay them Wherever you catch them, and turn them out from where they have turned you out” [Surah al-Baqarah 2:191]. Thus peace and conflict management in Islam will be defined by Islam and not the false ideas of the UN.

Theme 1 – Citizenship: Standard 1 - Common etiquettes - Recognize and practice common etiquettes in the civilized world of today.

The West prides itself in being civilized. The question should be raised, how is it civilized with vulgarity and indecency a norm? How is it civilized when its own citizens are hungry and unprotected? How is it civilized when it uses banned weapons in wars? How is it civilized when it breaks its own laws and attacks other nations resulting in years of war and complete destruction of cities, towns and nature? The SNC seeks to place Western civilization as a standard to be achieved. It seeks to universalize Western beliefs and thoughts.

This brief analysis of parts of the Social Studies curriculum shows that the children of Pakistan are being given a new identity. This is the identity of the Global Citizen. This identity is established on the weak and flimsy Western concepts as discussed above and will result in Muslims who propagate the false Western ideas and thus deviate the Ummah from referring to the Islamic ideology. The education curriculum in Muslim lands should reflect the belief and values of Islam. Students should be immersed in its values and be proud of their heritage, and students should be taught the concepts of citizenship from the Islamic perspective. Islam defines the Muslim citizen of the Islamic state as the one who has a firm conviction in the Islamic Aqeedah, exhibits the Islamic personality and seeks to protect the Islamic ideology. The Muslim citizen accounts the rulers, safeguards Islamic values in society through enjoying the good and forbidding the evil. This Muslims citizen understands that he must protect the Ahle-Dhimma as a worship to Allah (swt). The Muslim citizen understands that he must support the expansion of the Islamic state to take the correct ideology to the world and to lift humankind from darkness of the Western ideology. It is important to reject the SNC and demand the establishment of the Khilafah State (Caliphate), which will ensure an education curriculum based on the Islamic Aqeedah.

ANSWER

2

QUESTION

The Conflict Between Azerbaijan and Armenia

Question:

“The President of Azerbaijan, Ilham Aliyev said in a speech broadcasted on state television on the evening of Sunday 4/10/2020 that Armenia must set a timetable for withdrawal from the Nagorno-Karabakh region before stopping the confrontation that took place in it about a week ago... Aliyev considered that controlling the city of Gabriel yesterday, Sunday, is a lesson for Armenia and its supporters, and it should take note from it, as he put it.” (Al-Jazeera, 5/10/2020).

Violent clashes broke out between Azerbaijan and Armenia on the morning of 27/9/2020 on a scale that has not happened since the start of ceasefire between the two sides in 1994, although they renewed from time to time in limited and extensive clashes sometimes, as what took place in 2016. Turkey did not support Azerbaijan militarily in all these previous clashes. But this time, when Turkey announced its support for Azerbaijan, it seems that it has certain goals!

What are these goals? And why did Turkey intervene heavily? What is the position of the Minsk group, especially its three leaders: (the United States, Russia and France)? All thanks and appreciation to you.

Answer:

To find out the reality of what is happening, we will review the following matters:

1- Azerbaijan announced that Armenia has launched a large-scale offensive on its lands on the morning of 27/9/2020; the Azerbaijani Ministry of Defense stated in a statement that (“The Armenian fires have caused civilian casualties, in addition to causing great damage to the civilian infrastructure in a number of villages that were subjected to heavy Armenian shelling.” It has stated that, “Its forces launched a counter-attack and managed to destroy a large number of Armenian military facilities and vehicles at the depth of the front line, including 12 Russian anti-aircraft missile systems (OSA)...” Al-Jazeera 27/9/2020).

The Azerbaijani parliament approved declaring a state of war in some cities and regions and imposing martial law in the areas of clashes. On the other hand, Armenia declared a state of war, and even put on its official page a picture of a monk carrying a machine gun with a cross

dangling from his neck, the sign of Shirk (polytheism), indicating that they are fighting a crusade war against Muslims. Armenia's Prime Minister, Nikol Pashinyan, announced his country's position in a speech: (“Azerbaijan has declared war on its people, and that it does not rule out that the escalation in the region exceeds the borders of the region and threatens international security. Turkey's behavior is fraught with devastating consequences for the southern Caucasus and urges the international community to ensure that Turkey does not interfere in the conflict between Baku and Yerevan over the Karabakh region since 1991...” previous source).

2- Azerbaijan has shown that the battles this time are serious and the war is decisive. On 30/9/2020, Azerbaijani President, Ilham Aliyev, said: “The negotiations on Karabakh were not feasible and there is no need for new calls for dialogue, expressing Azerbaijan's determination to restore its territorial integrity and that the Azerbaijani army was able to achieve successes on the field, during its recent operations in the disputed region. No one can force the Azerbaijani forces to withdraw from the sites they controlled. The withdrawal of the Armenian forces is the only condition proposed by Azerbaijan and if Yerevan applies it, the fighting will stop.” And he said, “We have one condition: complete, unconditional and without delay withdrawal of Armenian forces from our territory. If the Armenian government accepts... the battles will stop and the bloodshed will stop...” (Al-Jazeera; Russia Today, 30/9/2020) It appears that he is confident in the Turkish support, thinking that this support is sincere and that it will liberate the land for him.

3- The clashes renewed this year on 12/7/2020 and lasted 3 days, and stopped with the loss of lives between the two parties. Then, for the first time, Turkey sent ground and air forces to Azerbaijan to participate in large-scale military maneuvers between the two countries that began on 29/7/2020 and lasted about two weeks. Turkey began to show that it is adopting the issue of Azerbaijan and its occupied lands by the Armenians. No such thing happened before although sporadic clashes have occurred between the two parties from time to time since the declaration of the ceasefire in 1994. The last clashes were considered severe from that date, from the beginning of April until April 26, 2016, but Turkey did not intervene alongside Azerbaijan; they only received President Erdogan's condolences for the loss of lives in the clashes and his readiness to support Azerbaijan without offering anything! The circumstances

Cont... page 13

required Turkey's understanding with Russia and not confrontation, as America had planned in order for the two countries to stand together to install the pro-American Syrian regime, to strike the people of Syria who revolted against this regime and to prevent the return of Islam to power, especially since the Armenian revolt against Azerbaijan began in February 1988 with the support of Russia, and they declared their control over the mountainous Karabakh region in 1991, and declared an independent republic for them in it and the war continued until 1994. Azerbaijan therefore lost more than 20% to 24% of its lands, which include the Karabakh region, which consists of 5 governorates, along with 5 other provinces in the west of the country, in addition to large parts of the governorates of Aghdam and Fuzuli. About a million residents were displaced from these Muslim populated areas. The Russian army intervened directly, and Russia still stands behind Armenia, with the small country, population, powers and capabilities compared to Azerbaijan.

4- Turkey is playing with the Azerbaijan issue according to its movement in the American orbit and the implementation of its orders. Turkey signed a comprehensive peace agreement with Armenia in Zurich, Switzerland on 10/10/2009, which recognizes the current borders between the two countries, the opening of these borders, the establishment of diplomatic relations, the exchange of ambassadors, the opening of consulates and the development of relations in all areas, regional and international cooperation, resolving regional and international conflicts by peaceful means according to international rules and laws, combating terrorism, developing democracy in the region, creating and developing dialogue for scientific scrutiny of historical documents and sources, in reference to solving the problem of the Armenian genocide claim, noting that Erdogan had previously refused the "understanding" with Armenia before withdrawing its forces from the occupied Azerbaijani lands in and around Karabakh.

The conclusion of this agreement came at a direct request from former US President Obama, who called on his visit to Turkey on 6/4/2009 to resolve the dispute between Turkey and Armenia and to establish peace between them, after relations between the two parties were cut off and the borders closed in 1993. Erdogan complied and signed a comprehensive peace agreement with Armenia without addressing Azerbaijan and the Armenian occupation of the Azerbaijani lands, nor the issue of the one million displaced Muslim from the Azerbaijani people. The US State Department announced that the signing is a historic event and that it participated in it!

5- At that time, Azerbaijan criticized the signing of the agreement before the withdrawal of Armenians from the occupied Azerbaijani lands was achieved, and Azerbaijan demanded that Turkey should abide by its previous promises of not to open the borders and establish relations with Armenia before the latter's withdrawal

from its occupied lands. Elaph website published on 10/10/2009: [ZURICH: The Foreign Ministers of Turkey and Armenia signed on Saturday evening in Zurich (Switzerland) bilateral agreements aimed at normalizing relations between the two parties. The two ministers, Edward Nalbandyan and Ahmet Davutoglu, shook hands at length after the signing... Phil Gordon, Assistant Secretary of State for European and Eurasian Affairs said, "This evening (Saturday) we participated in a historic event."... Gordon was accompanied by US Secretary of State Hillary Clinton, who arrived Saturday evening to London as part of a five-day tour of six European cities. Before her arrival in London, Clinton participated in Zurich, Switzerland, in the signing ceremony of the Turkish-Armenian agreements. For his part, a senior American official said that Obama is "enthusiastic" about the agreement and he considers it a "major step forward".

For its part, Azerbaijan condemned on Sunday the normalization agreement between Armenia and Turkey, and warned at the same time that the opening of the Armenian-Turkish borders might cause instability in the southern Caucasus. The Azerbaijani Foreign Ministry said in a statement, "The normalization of relations between Turkey and Armenia before the withdrawal of Armenian forces from the occupied Azerbaijani region directly contradicts the interests of Azerbaijan and cast a shadow over the brotherly relations between Azerbaijan and Turkey, which are based on historical roots." (Elaph, Saturday, October 10, 2009) End.

6- But Turkey's Erdogan tried to deceive Azerbaijan with kind words without actions. It did not put any conditions in the agreement for the withdrawal of Armenia from Karabakh, but rather agreed on it as it is! But nine years later, in March 2018, under Russian pressure, Armenia officially canceled the agreement due to its submission to Russian influence. Thus, America missed the opportunity to take Armenia from Russia through this agreement with Turkey. Instead, Russia strengthened its influence in Armenia and strengthened its missile arsenal at its base, the Armenian Gyumri base, and then signed a joint air defense agreement in December 2015 with Armenia and deployed a squadron of MiG-29 aircraft, and thousands of soldiers, armour, long-range S-300 air and missile defense systems, as well as SE-6 medium-range air defenses. Russia introduced it to its market, the Eurasian Economic Union, which came into effect on 01/01/2015, along with Belarus, Kazakhstan and Kyrgyzstan. These countries, including Armenia, have become a market for the disposal of Russian products under the name of free movement of goods and services in all fields. The gross domestic product of this market is more than 5 trillion US dollars, and most of it is in favour of Russia.

7- After all that, America sought other ways to strengthen its influence in Azerbaijan and to weaken Russian influence in it, and then enter Armenia. It instructed Turkey to strengthen its military relations along with economic and political relations with Azerbaijan to enhance the American

Cont... page 14

influence and to pressure Armenia to open the doors to the American influence, until the last clashes took place last July as if they were a deliberate act so that Turkey can use it as a pretext to intervene militarily, and send forces for training and joint maneuvers, and then the recent violent clashes erupted and a state of war by both sides was declared.

Immediately after these clashes, Turkish President Erdogan wrote on 27/9/2020 on his Twitter account the international community's failure to respond to Armenia's attacks shows its double standard. "Holding onto its negligent attitude for almost 30 years, the (OSCE) Minsk group, unfortunately, is miles away from acting in a manner that is focused on finding a solution to the conflict," Erdogan said.. He said, "The establishment of peace in the region will be through the departure of the Armenian forces from the occupied Azerbaijani lands in 1992. Turkey will continue to stand by the friendly and brotherly Azerbaijan..." (Turkish News Agency, 28/9/2020), but he thought that people have forgot! So, he forgot that he had neglected all that and turned a blind eye to it when he signed that agreement, the peace agreement with Armenia in 2009 to serve America without demanding the withdrawal of Armenian forces from Azerbaijani lands, and that was not mentioned, even in one word!

8- And when Armenia canceled this agreement, nine years after its signing, and America was unable to enter Armenia, Erdogan once again demanded the withdrawal of Armenian forces from these occupied lands. He criticized his dear friend, Putin, as he described him, and he also criticized Macron and said ("I spoke about this matter with Russian President Vladimir Putin and French President Emmanuel Macron, but without a result." Turkish News Agency 1/10/2020), and he did not criticize his friend and ally, Trump, as he also describes him. How can he criticize him when he is the engine behind the curtain, but rather in front of it?! Even if America manipulated diplomatic methods, it is exposed to everyone who has eyesight and insight. And that includes US President Trump's announcement in a press conference on the evening of 27/9/2020 that ("America will seek to stop the violence that broke out between Armenia and Azerbaijan." But he said: "the US has a lot of good relationships in that area. We will see if we can stop it." Al-Jazeera 27/9/2020), that is, he will stop it when he deems it necessary to stop it, and he did not say that he will exert pressure and put all the weight of America to put pressure on both parties to find a solution.

Rather, he alleviated that by saying "if we can stop it," and it is the state that if it wants to implement something, it puts all its weight and pressure on it! The US State Department statement stated that it "The United States condemns in the strongest terms this escalation of violence. The Deputy Minister of Foreign Affairs, Stephen Pigeon, urged the Minister of Foreign Affairs of Azerbaijan and his Armenian counterpart to immediately cease hostilities from both sides, and to cooperate with the co-chairs of the Minsk Group with the aim of returning

to substantive negotiations as soon as possible and it affirmed Washington's commitment to assisting both sides on achieving a peaceful and sustainable settlement of the conflict..." (Qatari, Al-Jazeera and the Iranian Al-Alam, 27/9/2020). It equated between the Azerbaijani and Armenian parties in demanding a cessation of hostilities, because the US position targets the two countries to enhance influence in them and weaken or remove Russian influence from them. All of this indicates that America is implicitly satisfied with Turkey's moves and that it has moved on the side of Azerbaijan with US instructions. Otherwise, why is the Turkish presidential spokesman, Ibrahim Kalin, contacted on 29/9/2020 the US National Security Adviser, Robert O'Brien, as reported by Anadolu Agency about the conflict between Azerbaijan and Armenia if America was not the one behind these moves?

9- Moreover, Erdogan and his officials' confirmation that they negotiated the implementation of the unjust Security Council resolutions and the decisions of the Minsk Group that emerged from the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe in 1992 and its leaders are America, Russia and France, even though these decisions are in the favour of Armenia and to secure a ceasefire in its favour. All this confirms that there is an intentional action to escalate the situation, to pave the way for political and diplomatic actions and to exert the pressure that America desires.

Wars are often used as a means to move political and diplomatic actions, which are linked to American instructions. Calls have begun from all parties to negotiate and find a political solution to the issue and implement the Security Council's resolutions. Turkish officials have repeatedly made statements in support of Azerbaijan on the basis of resolving the issue based on Security Council resolutions. During his visit to the Azerbaijani embassy in Ankara, Foreign Minister, Cavusoglu, said ("The decisions of the United Nations, the European Council and the European Organization for Security and Cooperation regarding the integrity of the Azerbaijani soil are clear, and if Armenia does not withdraw, the issue will not be resolved." Al-Jazeera, 29/9/2020). Knowing that these decisions did not touch the Karabakh Heights at all, but rather the other lands, which indicates complicity against the issue of Azerbaijan.

10- Russia stands behind Armenia, a country with a small area, population, powers and capabilities in relation to Azerbaijan. Russia is the one that funds and supports Armenia with weapons and equipment and everything necessary to survive. Armenia is a member of the collective security organization led by Russia and it has a large military presence there, so it is difficult to abandon it; otherwise, its back from this side will be exposed and gives access to the North Caucasus, which is part of Federal Russia. Russian Foreign Minister, Sergey Lavrov, during a telephone conversation with his Armenian counterpart, Zohrab Mnatsakyan, expressed his

Cont... page 15

"concern about the hostilities in Karabakh, stressing the need for a ceasefire" (Sputnik, 27/9/2020), which indicates Russian support for Armenia against Azerbaijan. When Turkey condemns Armenia, it must condemn the main supporter, Russia, that imposes its influence there and protects Armenia. Armenia dares the aggression only with Russian support. He who supports the enemy is also an enemy. However, Turkey's Erdogan established the best relations with the Russian enemy and it is its ally in Syria against its Muslim people revolting against the criminal regime headed by Bashar Assad.

At the same time, it is an American tool to manipulate Russia and use it to achieve the American interests. But it is not easy for Russia to make concessions in its vital region, as happened with Ukraine and Georgia. Therefore, the conflict will not be resolved in this battle, and political and diplomatic actions will take over, as they are more effective in deceiving Russia.

11- As for France's presence there, it is different. It does not have any influence there, and it is trying to show itself as a major country. It wants to maintain its membership in the Minsk Group that was formed in 1992 according to a decision of the European Security and Cooperation Council to resolve the issue of the Azeri-Armenian conflict and secure the cease fire permanently.

It stands in the way of Turkey, which hinders the European and French influence, because Turkey is in the orbit of America. Macron said at a press conference in Latvia on 30/9/2020: "I learned about the Turkish "pro-Azerbaijan" announcements, which I think are reckless and dangerous. France is still very concerned about the warlike messages sent by Turkey in the past hours, which remove any obstacles in front of Azerbaijan to invade Nagorno-Karabakh again, and we will not accept this." (Reuters, 30/9/2020). Turkish Foreign Minister, Cavusoglu, responded on 30/9/2020, saying, "France's solidarity with Armenia amounts to support for the Armenian occupation in Azerbaijan." (Anadolu, 30/9/2020).

France is trying to appear as an 'honest' mediator in a contradictory position, when it is the country that does not master political maneuvering, its position is always exposed, and if it tries to hide it, the contradiction appears. In a statement issued after the clashes, a spokeswoman for the French Foreign Ministry Von Der Muhll said:

"France is deeply concerned about the large-scale clashes in Karabakh and the reports of casualties, especially among civilians, and calls for an immediate cessation of hostilities and the resumption of dialogue." And she said, "France, as a co-chair of Minsk, affirms with its Russian and American partners its commitment to reaching a negotiated solution to the conflict within the framework of international law" (Sputnik, 27/9/2020). At the same time, it is driven by an apparent hatred against Islam and Muslims always, whether it is internal, as it claims that it practices freedoms, and restricts that freedoms of

the Muslims in an exposed contradictory position, or it is external, as it adopts the issues of Armenians and other Christians to exploit them to establish its influence, and they are easy to be deceived, as it deceived them during the First World War and incited them against the Ottoman State, which looked after their affairs for centuries. France promised them a homeland in Anatolia, so they betrayed the Ottoman State and killed many Muslims by France's incitement. And it would have been in their interest if they remained as the people of Dhimma (Ahl al-Dhimma), safe under the Islamic state, that does justice to them and does not exploit them as France and other colonial countries do.

12- As for the Security Council resolutions that demand that countries implement them, including Turkey itself, they did not address the Karabakh region, which was controlled by the Armenians who declared it a republic for them. The first resolution was issued on April 30, 1993 under number 822 demanding an immediate end to the clashes and hostilities, and demanded a permanent ceasefire. It demanded the Armenian occupation forces to withdraw from the Zangilan region, the city of Horadiz, Kurdlar and other Azerbaijani areas, but it did not address the occupied Karabakh region. There are other decisions issued in the same year confirming this decision, so Resolution No. 853 was issued on July 29, 1993. The previous decision confirmed and condemned the seizure of Aghdam Province and other regions of Azerbaijan, demanding the complete withdrawal from these areas by the Armenians and demanding that the Armenian government put pressure on the Karabakh region to comply with Resolution 822. This same year, on October 14, Resolution No. 874 was issued, reiterating its support for the peace process between the two sides and calling for acceptance of the revised timetable for urgent steps. Azerbaijan rejected it because it linked the withdrawal of Armenian forces in Karabakh from occupied Azerbaijani lands to lifting the embargo imposed on Armenia. So, the Azerbaijani government complained about its treatment of like the defeated side. The revised timetable included proposals related to the withdrawal of troops from the newly occupied territories and removing all obstacles to communication and transportation and that all other issues which were not dealt with according to the council must be settled through peaceful negotiations. And on November 12 of the same year, 1993 Resolution No. 884 was issued, affirming previous decisions and condemning the ceasefire violations between the two parties, particularly in Zangilan and Horadiz. It called on the Armenian occupation forces to withdraw from Zangilan and Horadiz, and the occupation forces to withdraw from the lands they occupied from Azerbaijan. Not all Security Council resolutions referred to the withdrawal from Karabakh. The decisions were formulated in a thoughtful way, focusing on Azerbaijani lands outside the Karabakh region, and considering this region implicitly not as Azerbaijani lands. This means that if negotiations and then an agreement became possible, this region, the Karabakh region specifically, would remain under

the control of the Armenians in exchange for their withdrawal from the Azerbaijani lands. Turkey is demanding the implementation of these decisions, which do not demand the withdrawal of Armenians from the Karabakh region, and also demanded the implementation of Security Council resolutions in Syria that do not affect the regime but affirm its survival and the preservation of its secular identity. It also demanded the implementation of the two-state solution in Palestine, that allows the occupation of 80% of Palestinian lands by the Jews. Thus, the Karabakh issue was stalled, and the ceasefire was a victory for the Armenians. The Minsk Group has not announced what would be the solution and what is being negotiated except for those Security Council resolutions, but it is understood from the context and the circumstances and disputes that they want to extract recognition from Azerbaijan for the Armenian occupation of the five provinces of Karabakh region, where it was completely emptied of its Muslim people and replaced by the kuffar of Armenian Christians, until Armenia withdraws from the other five provinces and the occupied areas of Aghdam and Fuzuli provinces, and the case is thus settled. It is like what happened in Palestine where the Jews and those behind them, America, extracted recognition from the Palestine Liberation Organization and the existing regimes in the Arab and Islamic countries of the usurpation of about 80% of Palestine by Jews, and about 20% of the lands occupied by Jews since 1967 were being negotiated, but which were handed over to them by the treacherous rulers, when they accepted the ceasefire and accepted Resolutions 242 and 243 issued by the Security Council, which stipulate the withdrawal of the Jewish entity only from the lands it occupied, but was handed over to it on June 5 of that year.

13- This Turkish interest does not bode well, so whenever Turkey's Erdogan interferes in an issue, it will be at the expense of its people and in the interest of America, just like what happened in Syria; Turkey exerted pressure on the armed factions to accept the reducing escalation and cease-fire agreement, which was not observed by the Syrian regime and those who supported it directly from the Iranian regime and its supporters and Russia, until these factions were driven out from their areas and were handed over to the regime. Similarly, what happened in Libya as Turkey provided support to the Sarraj government, and when the Sarraj forces advanced towards Sirte and Jufra, Turkey stopped its support and demanded that Sarraj implements a permanent ceasefire and negotiate with the other party, Haftar's party, which Turkey considers illegal! It is not ruled out that Turkey's support is to exert pressure on Azerbaijan to soften its position, and this is what is indicated by the statements of Turkish officials, as the stalemate in the negotiations led by the Minsk Group,

especially America, has not been broken. This is until Azerbaijan makes concessions on the issue of Karabakh, while it is reiterating its pledge to restore Karabakh by force from the occupiers. America, Russia and France consider Karabakh an Armenian region from the tone of their speech and their media that always support the Armenians and the Security Council resolutions issued by these three countries and approved by the other countries in the Council. A republic is formed in the region, as if it is independent from Armenia, complicating the negotiations, and this region would not give up its independent entity! And so that Armenia would not be directly responsible and evade the pressures if brought against it. Turkey's Erdogan's position is unreliable in this issue and elsewhere, as he has not provided support since the war broke out between the two parties thirty years ago, and Azerbaijan remained standing alone, and Turkey did not support it, and that is why it is feared that the last support is tantamount to imposing hegemony over the Azerbaijani decision and then make concessions!

14- Azerbaijan is an Islamic country and the majority of its people are Muslims, but its regime is secular, and it is an extension of the former communist regime in keeping religion separated from the state and from society. This country was conquered along with Armenia during the reign of the third Rashid (rightly guided) Caliph Othman bin Affan, may Allah be pleased with him. We cannot rely on Turkey or Iran to save and liberate the Islamic countries from the American or Russian grip, but rather they are cooperating with them. There is no salvation for Muslims except with the return of the Second Khilafah Rashida (Rightly Guided Caliphate) on the method of Prophethood that is the glad-tiding of the Messenger of Allah (saw):

إِنَّكُمْ فِي النَّبُوءَةِ مَا شَاءَ اللَّهُ أَنْ تَكُونَ، ثُمَّ يَرْفَعُهَا إِذَا شَاءَ أَنْ يَرْفَعَهَا، ثُمَّ تَكُونُ خِلَافَةً عَلَىٰ مِنْهَاجِ النَّبُوءَةِ، فَتَكُونُ مَا شَاءَ اللَّهُ أَنْ تَكُونَ، ثُمَّ يَرْفَعُهَا إِذَا شَاءَ أَنْ يَرْفَعَهَا، ثُمَّ تَكُونُ مُلْكًا عَاصِمًا، فَيَكُونُ مَا شَاءَ اللَّهُ أَنْ يَكُونَ، ثُمَّ يَرْفَعُهَا إِذَا شَاءَ أَنْ يَرْفَعَهَا، ثُمَّ تَكُونُ جَبْرِيَّةً، فَتَكُونُ مَا شَاءَ اللَّهُ أَنْ تَكُونَ، ثُمَّ يَرْفَعُهَا إِذَا شَاءَ أَنْ يَرْفَعَهَا، ثُمَّ تَكُونُ خِلَافَةً عَلَىٰ مِنْهَاجِ النَّبُوءَةِ

“There will be Prophethood for as long as Allah wills it to be, then He will remove it when He wills, then there will be Khilafah on the Prophetic method and it will be for as long as Allah wills, then He will remove it when He wills, then there will be biting Kingship for as long as Allah Wills, then He will remove it when He wills, then there will be oppressive kingship for as long as Allah wills, then he will remove it when He wills, and then there will be Khilafah upon the Prophetic method” and then he remained silent.” Verily the Messenger of the Allah (saw) is truthful.

18 Safar 1442 AH
5/10/2020 CE