

UQAB

Magazine

PRESS RELEASE

Cabinet Reshuffle:
Old Strategy- New Faces

NEWS AND COMMENTS


Issue of Abduction and
Disappearance in
Tanzania

NEWS AND COMMENTS

Change Is the Most
Fought Thought in
History

ANSWER TO QUESTION

The Geneva Negotiations
and the Attempt to End
the War in Sudan



Press Release

Cabinet Reshuffle: Old Strategy- New Faces

Kenya's President William Ruto has appointed four members of the main opposition party as part of a new cabinet as protests continue to rock the East African nation. The president had promised to appoint a new "broad-based" cabinet in response to the G-z protests witnessed a month ago. The move is 'aimed' at facilitating job creation, robust debt management, enhanced transparency and accountability in the use of public resources.

We wish to point out the following:

The G-z concern has always been the general public concern in Kenya's history. Upon a profound understanding these burning issues and more ultimately remain, a vicious cycle that definitely plunge the country into a dark abyss. This unfortunate political reality will never be radically changed to the interest of the society, since the political class and the so called G-z have confined change as speculated within the constitutional - political framework.

The capitalist ideology, a colonial tool subjugating the 'third world countries' is the elephant in the room here. As much as we appreciate the G-z vocal protest against the IMF and World Bank as colonial financial tools, however, there is a need to further these political emotions into comprehending the current global ideological reality as Kenya is not an isolated island.

The Capitalism system has created an illusion for change within the box of periodic general elections, constitutional reforms, and protests yet no fundamental change has ever materialized. Based on this deep, profound and crystal clear view, we therefore categorically state, the new and old faces in the current reshuffled cabinet is merely an old strategy and unfortunate same outcome!

We state the radical change is calling for Islam as the only system that pleases the Lord of humankind... A system that is obliged to reinstate human dignity as the political priority. Upon its implementation under the political framework of the Khilafah (Caliphate) guarantees genuine livelihood. Islam is the only choice for liberating humanity from these perpetual failures as it guarantees economic and political stability. Historically upon its implementation, Islam elevated humanity from the shackles of economic enslavement and political hypocrisy.

Shabani Mwalimu
Media Representative of Hizb ut Tahrir
Kenya

NEWS & COMMENTS

Issue of Abduction and Disappearance in Tanzania



News:

On 09th August, 2024, The Tanganyika Law Society (TLS) issued the press release on the ongoing abduction and disappearance of the people in the country. The organization listed about 83 reported people whom have been abducted and disappeared since 2016.

Comment:

We strongly denounce these acts which have been increasing recently without the relevant government agencies being properly accountable.

TLS reported more than 20 abduction/disappearance cases in 2024 only. The abducted/disappeared people include government critics, politicians, businessmen, common people including normal children as well as with albinism.

The later has been reported the most in recent days and so sparked fears among the parents as many of them are school children.

It is believed that there are several reasons behind abductions and disappearances including witchcraft beliefs motivated mostly by political agenda related with local government election conducted this year and the coming 2025 general election.

It has to be remembered that in 2015 Mr. Zeid Ra'ad Al Hussein, UN High Commissioner for Human Rights, warned that the surge of at the albino barbaric killings in Tanzania could had link with looming General Election campaigns.

It is said that some politicians believe that having albino's body parts for witchcrafts would make them win the election.

Government criticism, human trafficking, human organs business, hooliganism, fornication and rape might be also the reasons for abductions and disappearances.

These brutal incidents expose reality that the capitalistic democratic governments do not care about the welfare of the masses, including vital issues like people's safety and security. It is shame that despite repetition of these incidences every year or within a number of months, yet enough has not been done to properly combat and prevent them.

Furthermore, it indicates vividly the evil of capitalist ideology, among them is its thought of 'personal benefit' of which made people greed to only care personal interests and gains regardless the effects of their actions might bring to people.

While we demand to the government as a care taker to make serious intervention with all means possible to abolish these inhuman, barbaric and heinous actions, it has to be clear that it is only under Islamic State (Khilafah) that true safety and security of people would be achieved via implementation of divine rule that stand for justice without consideration of benefit.

**Written for the Central Media Office of Hizb ut Tahrir
by**

**Said Bitomwa
Member of the Media Office of Hizb ut Tahrir in
Tanzania**

NEWS & COMMENTS

Change Is the Most Fought Thought in History

News:

Kenya's streets remained a battleground as protests, sparked by controversial tax hikes, evolve into a wider outcry against deep-rooted economic disparities and a perceived lack of government accountability. At the forefront of this movement is a generation of young Kenyans, refusing to be silenced despite facing escalating police crackdowns. The protests erupted in response to a government-proposed finance bill that included a slew of tax hikes amidst an already challenging economic environment. The bill sparked public outrage, particularly among young people, who saw it as a move that would exacerbate an ongoing cost of living crisis in the East African nation.

Comment:

The recent demonstrations in Kenya by the Gen-Zs and Millennials is of a kind that the political class had not witnessed before. Demonstrations did indeed have real issues demanded that vividly made plain the colonial heavy influence in the sphere of the political-economy of Kenya. This situation is not unique to Kenya rather to all third world countries, where most of the political, social and economical policies are directly adopted to benefit the colonial West.

The draconian financial bill that triggered the anger in the general populace, a population that is almost 40% below poverty level and over 80% of the employment are in the informal sector with a meager wage, are to be heavily taxed from motor vehicle to bread. The heavy hand of the colonialist from institutions like IMF, World Bank and foreign policies have directly kept the grip and suffocated the livelihood of societies in the third world countries.

The call for change mostly among the youths demanding for accountability on how the country fell into the abyss of debt trap and calling the government of the day to alienate from Western hegemony is true face for the will for change. The most unfortunate aspect for this Gen-Z struggle is limited within the loop of Western thought, framework and structures, when indeed the change is a serious endeavor. Thinking about change is essential to the life because the stagnation of life and surrendering to fate are from the most dangerous pestilences which cause peoples and nations to perish, die out and to become forgotten in the course of events and time. For this reason, thinking about change is from amongst the most important kinds of thought and this kind of thinking is not favored by the sluggish and not accepted by the lazy because the cost of change is heavy and because

those who are dominated by the norms see thinking for change as representing a harm upon them and a moving from one condition to another. For this reason, those who are declined and lazy fight this kind of thought whilst the so-called political class, elites and those who dominate over the necks of the servants and their sources of sustenance oppose it and stand in its way. And for this reason, thinking for change represented a danger for the one possessing it and it became the single most fought against type of thought in an unrelenting war.

It is of paramount importance for the Gen-Zs and all generations across the spectrum of the society to elevate the emotions to intellectual level to real understand the basis upon which the West dominate the world today. As this is the way only to guarantee the course of actions towards radical change. Islam as the only relevant ideology today, since it addresses Man as a human being with his inherent needs and wants. It addresses Man's problem as they relate to him as a being with a specific nature.

Islam viewed Man from a holistic perspective and addressed the needs of Man as the basis for its solution. While capitalism did not view Man from a holistic perspective. It concentrated on certain aspects of Man's needs while neglecting his other needs. For instance, in capitalism any commodity or service is considered beneficial and has to be produced as long as it satisfies a need for an individual in the society, e.g., wine, drugs, prostitution. Capitalism views the producer or provider of such services as the one who contributes towards solving the economic problem. The problem is addressed by increasing production and subsequently closing the gap between supply and demand. Increasing production to meet demand overlooks the impact of producing the material and services for society, while in Islam certain commodities and services have no value because of their negative effect on the society. Additionally, the tool through which Capitalism guarantees the acquisition of products or services is through money. So, whoever does not possess the price of something would be deprived of it. In Islam, the wellbeing of the individual and society at large is considered and thus one would acquire things needed either through the mechanism of price or through other mechanisms, such as Zakah or through the State's treasury.

**Written for the Central Media Office of Hizb ut Tahrir
by**

**Ali Omar Albaity
Member of the Media Office of Hizb ut Tahrir in Kenya**

ANSWER TO QUESTION

The Geneva Negotiations and the Attempt to End the War in Sudan



Question:

The opening session of the Geneva negotiations to end the war in Sudan that has been going on for nearly 16 months was held on Wednesday (14/8/2024) in the presence of international mediation partners, the United States, Switzerland, Saudi Arabia, Egypt, the Emirates, the African Union and the United Nations, while the Sudanese army was absent from the talks. What is the reason for America's call to hold a conference in Geneva instead of Jeddah and to expand the participation? And why did the army not attend? Is America's call for the Geneva negotiations a waste of time without the intention of achieving a ceasefire? Or does it have to do with the English forces that are still resisting? And why is there a repeated confrontation in El Fasher, and what is its importance to both parties? Thank you.

Answer:

For a clear answer for the above questions, we will review the following matters. We will begin with the final question:

First: We mentioned in the "Answer to a Question" on 19/12/2023:

[The conflict will not be resolved quickly, and it may also take some time, because the intention is to limit the conflict between the two sides of America there: The Army Command and the Rapid Support Command, and the outcome of the conflict is controlled by America by dividing the roles between them, to keep the opposition loyal to Britain and Europe paralyzed as it has been since the conflict erupted in mid-April 2023, and then to weaken it to a minimum. To clarify this, we explain the following:

a- On 21/11/2023, the Rapid Support Forces seized the city of El Daein, the capital of East Darfur State. They also seized the headquarters of the Army Command of the 20th Division there without a fight when the Army forces withdrew from it under the pretext of avoiding the danger of confrontations between them and harm to civilians! The Rapid Support Forces claimed in a statement: ["Their victories open a wide door to true peace... and that the state of East Darfur, along with El Daein, will remain safe under its protection." (Al Jazeera, Cont... page 6

22/11/2023)].

Note that El Daein is the stronghold of the Rizeigat tribe, to which Dagalo belongs, the commander of the Rapid Support Forces and most of his commanders and members. Before that, these forces seized the city of Nyala, the capital of South Darfur State, the city of Zalingei, the capital of Central Darfur State, and the city of El Geneina, the capital of West Darfur State. It only remains for them to seize the city of El Fasher, the capital of North Darfur State and the political and administrative capital of the Darfur region. If the RSF capture El Fasher, it would have directed a devastating blow to the pro-English and European movements, especially the Sudan Liberation Movement and the Justice and Equality Movement].

We also stated in the same Question and Answer:

[These movements were determined to defend El Fasher, otherwise it will disappear... especially since the city of El Fasher occupies a strategic location, as its borders are connected to the borders of Libya, Chad, and the western cities of the Darfur region].

And then we added: [... The RSF headed to Darfur in front of the army, becoming the main opposition in the country. Perhaps America in Sudan will have two wings: a political wing of the RSF, but with weapons, to lead the opposition, and a military wing of the army... so that the two wings will serve America's interests. As for why the RSF opposition is not demilitarized, this is most likely due to two reasons: The first: to contain the European opposition, which is made up of British agents, because eliminating it politically is not easy, but rather it has to be done militarily.

The second: The Rapid Support Forces in Darfur becomes a political opposition with an armed force, so that if America's interest requires another secession after South Sudan, it will bring to effect this secession in Darfur. It seems that the time has not come for this secession, but preparing the atmosphere for it is currently underway] **End of quoting the Question and Answer.**

Thus, El Fasher is important to all parties. It is of utmost importance to America and its followers (the army and the Rapid Support Forces) so that the RSF in Darfur can be a political opposition with an armed force, and if America's interest requires another separation after South Sudan, it will be in Darfur. It is also important to the European opposition, as they have nothing left to rely on in Darfur except El Fasher. If they are expelled from it, this opposition will disappear, especially since the city of El Fasher occupies a strategic location, as its borders connect with the borders of Libya, Chad, and the western cities of the Darfur region... Therefore, they are fighting fiercely there, and this is what has prevented the RSF from controlling El Fasher so far. Although El Fasher is the last stronghold of the army in Darfur, and although

they are apparently with the opposition against the RSF, they are not fighting seriously with the opposition against the RSF, otherwise the army would have ended them, as it has sufficient strength. However, the American plan wants the army and the RSF to remain for the purposes we explained above and to eliminate or marginalize the European opposition.

Second: As for the questions about the Geneva Conference, we review them as follows:

1- US Secretary of State Blinken stated on 23/7/2024:

["Washington has invited the Sudanese Armed Forces and the Rapid Support Forces to participate in talks on a ceasefire by the United States, starting on 14/8/2024 in Switzerland." He said, "The talks, which are also co-hosted by Saudi Arabia, will include the African Union, Egypt, the Emirates, and the United Nations as observers." He said, "The talks in Switzerland aim to reach a nationwide cessation of violence, enabling humanitarian access to all those in need, and develop a robust monitoring and verification mechanism to ensure implementation of any agreement." He pointed out that "the talks do not aim to address broader political issues" (State.gov; France Presse, 23/7/2024)]. As the previous rounds of negotiations held in Jeddah did not want to yield any results, deliberately by America, because it did not want to stop the fighting between the two parties. Blinken's statement that "These talks do not aim to address broader political issues" means that the Geneva meeting will not result in a cessation of fighting between the two parties, but only negotiations for the sake of negotiations! This is confirmed by the statement of the US State Department spokesman, Matthew Miller, who said ["He cannot assess the possibility of reaching an agreement, but we simply want to bring the two parties back to the negotiating table," adding, "We hope that this is an opportunity to finally reach a ceasefire" (The Independent Arabia, 24/7/2024)].

Britain also realized that the Geneva negotiations called for by America would not find a solution. The UN envoy Ramtane Lamamra stated in the Security Council meeting on 29/7/2024 about the Geneva meeting called for by America on 14/8/2024, describing the Geneva discussions as ["an encouraging first step in a longer and more complex process". (Asharq Al-Awsat, 29/7/2024)]. In other words, he announced that he would not reach a solution in this meeting, but rather it was for chatter on the banks of the Rhone River in Geneva! Note that the envoy Ramtane Lamamra, the former Algerian Foreign Minister, is one of Britain's agents who works to involve the European Union and British agents in the talks related to Sudan, as happened in the Djibouti meeting held on 26 and 27/7/2024, in which more than 20 countries participated in addition to the European Union. It is worth noting that America was able to prevent the appointment of Ramtane Lamamra as an envoy to Libya. However, Britain was able to appoint him as the

Cont... page 7

UN envoy to Sudan.

2- Thus, as soon as America called, through its Secretary of State, to hold a conference in Switzerland, the Rapid Support Forces rushed to respond immediately. Following this statement, on the evening of 23/7/2024, the Commander of the Rapid Support Forces, Mohamed Hamdan Dagalo (Hemedti), welcomed Blinken's invitation via the X platform, saying: "I announce our participation in the upcoming ceasefire talks on August 14, 2024 in Switzerland." This means that Dagalo had previously heard of this invitation, and learned its reasons from the US State Department channels, because he did not hesitate to respond. Although the Commander of the Army and Chairman of the Sovereignty Council, Al-Burhan, also knew, the agreement was that he would abstain and his answer would come late, so that it would appear as if he had sovereignty and could object. Therefore, he requested a meeting with America to consult on the Geneva Conference, as if Al-Burhan could accept or reject without America's approval! Then he announced the failure of these consultations:

[The Sudanese-American consultations, which paved the way for the army's participation in negotiations with the RSF, have officially been announced to have stalled. The consultations were held in the Saudi city of Jeddah in response to a request from the government supported by the army leadership and headquartered in Port Sudan, which threatens to fail the Geneva entitlement before it starts on its scheduled date next Wednesday. According to informed sources, the main points of contention that led to the failure of the consultations are the Sudanese delegation's refusal to allow IGAD and the United Arab Emirates to participate as "observers", and that participation in the negotiations should be in the name of the government and not the army, and that they should be based on implementing the Jeddah Humanitarian Declaration before entering into any other negotiations. "The head of the delegation, Abu Namu, let the rope loose" and did not make a decision regarding participation in the negotiations, but rather left it to the leadership's assessments, saying: "The matter is ultimately up to the leadership's decision and assessments." [Asharq Al-Awsat, 13/8/2024]

3-Thus, the Jeddah consultations failed to agree to the Switzerland meeting and fabricated a reason for that, that the invitation was for the army or the government?! As if Al Burhan could reject America's request for the Geneva meeting if it was serious about it! Rather, it is to distract the parties with the subject of negotiations until America ends the European influence in Sudan and reaches the solution it wants to be a positive incentive for it in the upcoming elections. As for the reason for this American delay until now due to the failure to find a solution to the Sudan problem, it is because the European and English parties are still strong

in Sudan. As we mentioned earlier, America worked to highlight the conflict between Al-Burhan and Hemedti to marginalize the European powers, but so far it has not achieved this goal, as the activity of the English in Sudan was strengthened by the UAE, after it failed by Kenya, which demanded the introduction of peacekeeping forces to stop the fighting and involve the civilian component formed by English agents in the negotiations. So, they failed in both, "stopping the fighting and involving the civilian component."

4-The Sudanese government and Al Burhan are aware of this, as the Sudanese and Emirati representatives to the United Nations exchanged altercations during a Security Council session on 18/6/2024, the Sudanese representative, Al-Harith Idris Al-Harith, confirmed that ["he has evidence of the UAE's support for the RSF," and the Emirati representative, Mohammed Abu Shahab, responded that these were "false accusations" and said: "There will be no victory or military settlement of the conflict in Sudan, and the negotiating table is the only way to resolve it." (CNN, 19/6/2024)]. With this statement, the UAE announces that it is intervening in the ongoing conflict in Sudan. It was preceded by an exchange of expulsions of diplomats between the two parties. Britain began the same game that America plays against its agents to contain them, by placing them under the command of the army or under the command of the RSF. Britain, through the UAE, began supporting the RSF to protect its agents and strengthen their presence, so that the RSF cannot get rid of them or control them.

British agents, under the name "Taqadum" (the Progress) Coordination and led by Abdullah Hamdok, the former Sudanese Prime Minister who was overthrown by Al Burhan and Hemedti in 2021, began to make noticeable moves. On 3/4/2024, the Sudanese Public Prosecution issued a decision to arrest 16 leaders of the Coordination, headed by Hamdok, and demanded that they surrender to the prosecution on charges of ["support, assistance and agreement, crimes against the state, undermining the constitutional system, war crimes, and genocide"... (Sudanese TV, 3/4/2024)], but it did not arrest anyone and none of them surrendered themselves, which indicates the weakness of Al-Burhan regime's will in the face of the British agents. Abdel Fattah Al-Burhan also refuses to even negotiate with it: ["Al-Burhan, Chairman of the Sovereignty Council in Sudan, announced on Thursday his refusal to negotiate with the Coordination of Civilian Democratic Forces (Taqadum)." (Anadolu Agency, 6/6/2024)].

5- The UAE's support for the Rapid Support Forces serves the interests of its master Britain in Sudan, not because the RSF Commander Dagalo is an agent of Britain, but rather an agent of America. It wants to foil the American plan in Sudan by infiltrating with Dagalo and his RSF. Just as it did in Libya, where it gave a role to its

Cont... page 8

agent, the UAE, to infiltrate Haftar, an agent of America, and provide him with support to influence him and foil his movement against Britain's agents in the capital, Tripoli. It also gave it a role in Yemen, where the UAE infiltrated the alliance formed by America, headed by Saudi Arabia, in Operation Decisive Storm to intervene in Yemen. Britain exploited this to support its agents and enable them to control southern Yemen and expel the Houthis, America's agents, from it. It almost took control of Hodeidah and then head towards Sanaa to overthrow the Houthis, had it not been for the propaganda created by America that the people of Hodeidah were dying of hunger and disease. The Stockholm Conference was held on 13/12/2018 and stopped the advance of the UAE and its allies from southern Yemen... This is Britain's cunning in international politics!

6- Thus, America is stalling in finding a solution, the Rapid Support Forces agree and the army refuses, and so on... and the negotiations move from Jeddah to Cairo to Geneva, not to find a solution, but to stall in finding a solution: [... and the ruling Transitional Sovereignty Council said in a statement, "Based on contact with the American government represented by the American envoy to Sudan, Tom Perriello, and a contact from the Egyptian government requesting a meeting with a government delegation in Cairo to discuss the government's vision for implementing the Jeddah Agreement, the government will send a delegation to Cairo for this purpose." He added that the Jeddah Agreement stipulates that the Rapid Support Forces leave civilian areas..." (Al-Marsad-Arabi, 19/8/2024)].

7- Conclusion:

a- It is likely that misleading decisions will be issued hoping to stop the fighting between the Sudanese army (SAF) and the Rapid Support Forces (RSF) at the Geneva Conference on 14/8/2024, scheduled to last for 10 days: [The Geneva talks are scheduled to last for up to ten days under American-Saudi sponsorship... (Al Jazeera, 14/8/2024)] **but only ineffectively and remain ink on paper. If it happens, it will be temporary and will not last;** as America has not yet achieved its goals. It will be sufficient to focus on delivering humanitarian aid. [The Sudanese government announced that it will allow the passage of humanitarian aid through the Adre crossing across the border with Chad. The seven international parties participating in the Geneva Conference welcomed this step... (Sky News Arabia, 17/8/2024)]. [The United States described the Geneva negotiations as a new model, stressing that the goal of the talks is to expand the scope of delivering aid and reopen humanitarian corridors. (Al Jazeera, 20/8/2024)].

b- America's inability to keep Britain out of the scene in Sudan still remains, especially through its regional agents such as the Emirates and its local agents such as the Taqadum Coordination. This made America

reconsider its calculations and involve the Emirates in the Geneva Conference, although it had previously confined the work related to the Sudanese issue between itself and its Saudi agent in the Jeddah platform without considering the European powers (Freedom and Change). When Taqadum appeared, which is more effective than the Freedom and Change and behind it the Emirates, America decided the involvement of the Emirates in the conference as a matter of evasion and deception without actually being serious about finding a solution to stop the fighting!

c- All of this is a loss for the Muslim people of Sudan. The killer and the killed among them are as the Messenger of Allah (saw) said: **إِذَا التَّقَى الْمُسْلِمَانِ بِسَيْفَيْهِمَا فَالْفَاتِلُ إِذَا التَّقَى الْمُسْلِمَانِ بِسَيْفَيْهِمَا فَالْفَاتِلُ وَالْمَقْتُولُ فِي النَّارِ** **"If two Muslims meet with their swords, the killer and the killed will be in Hellfire."** The sincere people in the army and among the people must move to bring down all these conspiracies and get rid of the agents, for they are the root of the calamity and through them the colonizers are able to carry out all these conspiracies. All the sincere people must also move to support Hizb ut Tahrir, the sincere political leadership that has not stopped exposing these conspiracies for decades and whose opinion has been correct every time. The sincere people of power must support it to support Allah's Deen and to strengthen it.

وَلْيَنْصُرَنَّ اللَّهُ مَنْ يَنْصُرُهُ إِنَّ اللَّهَ لَقَوِيٌّ عَزِيزٌ

"Allah will certainly help those who stand up for Him. Allah is truly All-Powerful, Almighty" [Al-Hajj: 40]

15 Safar Al Khair 1446 AH
Corresponding to 20/8/2024 CE